arXiv:1608.00499v1 [math.GR] 1 Aug 2016

ENDOTRIVIAL MODULES FOR FINITE GROUPS VIA HOMOTOPY THEORY

JESPER GRODAL

Abstract. The classification of endotrivial kG–modules, i.e., the elements of the Picard group of the stable module category, for an arbitrary finite group G has been a long-running quest in modular representation theory. By deep work of Dade, Alperin, Carlson, Thevenaz, and others, it has been reduced to understanding the subgroup consisting of modular representations that upon restriction to a Sylow p–subgroup split as the trivial module direct sum a projective module. In this paper we identify this subgroup as the first cohomology group of the orbit category on non-trivial p–subgroups with values in the units $k^\times$, viewed as a constant coefficient system. We then use homotopical techniques to give a number of formulas for this group in terms of one-dimensional representations of normalizers and centralizers, in particular verifying the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture. We also provide strong restrictions on when such representations of dimension greater than one can occur, in terms of the p–subgroup complex and p–fusion systems. We immediately recover and extend a large number of computational results in the literature, and further illustrate the computational potential by calculating the group in other sample new cases, e.g., for the Monster at all primes.

1. Introduction

In modular representation theory of finite groups, the indecomposable kG–modules M that upon restriction to a Sylow p–subgroup S split as the trivial module k plus a free kS–module are basic yet somewhat mysterious objects. The modules form a group $T_k(G,S)$ under tensor product, discarding projective kG–summands. It contains the one-dimensional characters $\mathrm{Hom}(G,k^\times)$ as a subgroup, but has been observed to sometimes also contain exotic elements. The group $T_k(G,S)$ is an important subgroup of the larger group of all so-called endotrivial modules $T_k(G)$, i.e., kG–modules M where $M^*\otimes M\cong k\oplus P$, for P a projective kG–module; namely $T_k(G,S)=\ker\left(T_k(G)\to T_k(S)\right)$, the kernel of the restriction to S. Endotrivial modules occur in many parts of representation theory, e.g, as source modules, and has a categorical interpretation as $T_k(G)\cong \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{StMod}_{kG})$, the Picard group of the stable module category; see e.g., the surveys [Thé07, Car12] and the papers quoted below.

Classifying endotrivial modules has been a long-running quest, which has been reduced to calculating $T_k(G,S)$, through a series of fundamental papers: The group $T_k(S)$ has been described by celebrated works of Dade [Dad78a, Dad78b], Alperin [Alp01], and Carlson–Thevenaz [CT04, CT05]; and from this description, Carlson–Mazza–Nakano–Thevenaz [CMN06, MT07, CMT13] has worked out the image of the restriction map to S, at least as an abstract abelian group, and shown that the restriction map is split onto its image; see Remark 3.11. Subsequently there has been an intense interest in calculating $T_k(G,S)$, with contributors Balmer, Carlson, Lassueur, Malle, Mazza, Nakano, Navarro, Robinson, Thevenaz, and others.

In this paper we give an elementary and computable homological description of the group $T_k(G,S)$, as the first cohomology group of the orbit category on non-trivial p–subgroups of G, with constant coefficients in $k^\times$, for any finite group G and any field k of characteristic p dividing the order of G. Using the large homological toolkit available, we use this to give a series of structural and computational results on $T_k(G,S)$: We write $T_k(G,S)$ as an inverse limit of homomorphisms

Supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation (DNRF92).

from normalizers of chains of p–subgroups to $k^\times$, answering the main conjecture of Carlson–Thevenaz [CT15, Ques. 5.5] in the positive; a related "centralizer decomposition" expresses it in terms of the p–fusion system of G and centralizers of elementary abelian p–subgroups; see §1.2–1.3. We also get formulas for $T_k(G,S)$ in terms of π_0 and π_1 of the p–subgroup complex $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ of G; in particular in the "generic" case where the p–subgroup complex of G is simply connected, $T_k(G,S)$ identifies with $\mathrm{Hom}(G,k^\times)$; this provides a topological correction to the old (too naïve) hope, see [Car12, p. 106], that exotic modules could only occur in the presence of a proper strongly p–embedded subgroup, see §1.1. We get bounds on $T_k(G,S)$ in terms of the fundamental group of the p–fusion system of G, and see the contribution of specific p–subgroups in G; see §1.4. Lastly we provide consequences of these results for specific classes of groups, e.g., finite groups of Lie type and sporadic groups, obtaining new computations as well as recover and simplify many old ones in the vast literature. E.g., as an example we try out one of our formulas on the Monster sporadic simple group, and easily calculate $T_k(G,S)$ for the harder primes $3,5,7,11$, and 13 which had been left open in the literature [LM15b]; see §1.5.

Our proof of the identification of $T_k(G,S)$ is direct and self-contained, and provides "geometric" models for the module generator in $T_k(G,S)$ corresponding to a 1–cocycle: It is the class in the stable module category represented by the unreduced Steinberg complex of G twisted by the 1-cocycle. It has the further conceptual interpretation as the homotopy left Kan extension of the 1–cocycle from the orbit category of non-trivial p–subgroups, to all p–subgroups. Our identification was originally inspired by a characterisation due to Balmer of $T_k(G,S)$ in terms of what he dubs "weak homomorphisms" [Bal13], and we also indicate another argument of how to deduce the identification using these.

Let us now describe our work in detail. Call a kG–module *Sylow-trivial* if it upon restriction to kS splits as the trivial module plus a projective kS–module. Hence $T_k(G,S)$ identifies with the group of equivalence classes of Sylow-trivial modules, identifying two if they become isomorphic after discarding projective kG–summands; each equivalence class contains a unique indecomposable representative, up to isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{O}_p^*(G)$ denote the orbit category of G with objects G/P, for P a non-trivial p–subgroup, and morphisms G–maps. The following is our main identification of $T_k(G,S)$.

Theorem A. *Fix a finite group G and k a field of characteristic p dividing the order of G. The group $T_k(G,S)$ is described via the following isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$\Phi : T_k(G,S) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathcal{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$$

The map Φ sends $M \in T_k(G,S)$ to $\varphi\colon \mathcal{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$ defined as zeroth Tate cohomology

$$k_\varphi\colon G/P \mapsto \hat{H}^0(P;M) = M^P/(\sum_{g\in P} g)M$$

a one dimensional module, and identifying the groupoid of one-dimensional k–modules and isomorphisms with the group $k^\times$, by picking $k_\varphi(G/S)$ as basepoint.

The inverse to Φ is given by the unaugmented "twisted Steinberg complex"

$$\Phi^{-1}(\varphi) = C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi) \in D^b(kG)/K^b(kG) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{stmod}_{kG}$$

where k_φ is the G–twisted coefficient system with values k induced by $\varphi\colon \mathcal{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$.

Here we used the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{stmod}_{kG} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(kG)/K^b(kG)$ between the finitely generated stable module category and the bounded derived category modulo perfect complexes recalled in Section 2.4. We recall G–twisted coefficient systems on the p–subgroup complex $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$, the nerve of the poset of non-trivial p–subgroups of G, in Section 2.3.1.

That $\hat{H}^0(P;M)$ is one-dimensional is the elementary algebraic fact, observed by Mazza–Thevenaz [MT07, Lem. 2.6], that indecomposable Sylow-trivial modules on $N_G(P)$ are one-dimensional; see Proposition 3.1—it is again encoded in the theorem since $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)) \cong G$ if $O_p(G) \neq 1$.

The chain complex $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$ can be interpreted as the value on G/e of the homotopy left Kan extension of φ along $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathscr{O}_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}}$, which has model the homotopy colimit in chain complexes $\operatorname{hocolim}_{P \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}}} k_\varphi$, and it was in fact this viewpoint that led us to the formula; see also Remark 3.7. When $\varphi = 1$, the complex is the Steinberg complex without the k–augmentation in degree -1, and the fact that $\Phi^{-1}(k) \cong k$ is equivalent to projectivity of the augmented Steinberg complex, proved by Quillen [Qui78, 4.5] and Webb [Web91].

We now put the model for $T_k(G,S)$ provided by Theorem A to use, expressing $H^1(\mathscr{O}_p(G); k^\times)$ in terms of p–local information about the group. We start by some elementary observations: By standard algebraic topology

$$H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times) = \mathrm{Rep}(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G), k^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times)$$

where Rep means isomorphism classes of functors, viewing $k^\times$ as a category with one object, all giving different viewpoints on the right-hand side of Theorem A. Let

$$G_0 = \langle N_G(Q) | 1 < Q \leq S \rangle \tag{1.1}$$

be the *smallest strongly p–embedded subgroup containing S*. An application of Alperin's fusion theorem [Alp67, §3] shows that $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ and $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G_0)$ are equivalent categories and that we have factorizations

$$N_G(S)/S \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)) \twoheadrightarrow G_0/O^{p'}(G_0) \twoheadrightarrow G/O^{p'}(G) \tag{1.2}$$

in particular displaying $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$ as a finite p'–group (see Proposition 4.1). This encodes the classical bounds on $T_k(G,S)$ (cf. [CMN06, Prop. 2.6], [MT07, Lem. 2.7], and Proposition 3.2):

$$\mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times) \leq \mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times) \leq T_k(G,S) \leq \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/S, k^\times)$$

In the rest of the introduction we provide much more precise descriptions of $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$ and its abelianization, divided up into 5 subsections as already mentioned: subgroup categories (§1.1), decompositions (§1.2), the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture (§1.3), fusion systems (§1.4), and computations (§1.5).

1.1. **Descriptions in terms of subgroup complexes.** Let $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ denote the transport category of G with objects the non-trivial p–subgroups of S, and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{T}_p(G)}(P,Q) = \{g \in G | {}^gP \leq Q\}$$

We have a functor $\mathscr{T}_p(G) \to \mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ sending g to the G–map $G/P \to G/Q$ specified by $eP \mapsto g^{-1}Q$. Since $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ and $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ only differ by p–power elements,

$$\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_p(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)) \tag{1.3}$$

where the subscript p' means that we quotient out by the subgroup generated by elements of p–power order (see Proposition 4.3).

By definition $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ equals the transport category (alias Grothendieck construction) of the G–action on the poset of nontrivial p–subgroups $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, ordered by inclusion. Hence

$$|\mathscr{T}_p(G)| \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|_{hG} \tag{1.4}$$

by Thomason's theorem [Tho79, Thm. 1.2], where $X_{hG} = EG \times_G X$ denotes the Borel construction; see also Remark 2.2. In particular we have a fibration sequence

$$|\mathcal{S}_p(G_0)| \to |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|_{hG} \to BG_0$$

where we use $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)| = G \times_{G_0} |\mathcal{S}_p(G_0)|$ with $|\mathcal{S}_p(G_0)|$ connected, as observed by Quillen [Qui78, §5] [Gro02, Prop. 5.8]. On fundamental groups it induces an exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_p(G_0)) \to \pi_1(\mathscr{T}_p(G)) \to G_0 \to 1 \tag{1.5}$$

displaying $\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_p(G))$ as an in general infinite group, with G_0 as quotient. By (1.3) and Theorem A, 1–dimensional characters of $\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_p(G))$ also parametrize Sylow-trivial modules for G, i.e.,

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_p(G)), k^\times) \tag{1.6}$$

The low-degree homology sequence of the group extension (1.5) produces an exact sequence

$$H_2(G_0)_{p'} \to (H_1(\mathcal{S}_p(G_0))_{p'})_{G_0} \to H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)) \to H_1(G_0)_{p'} \to 0 \tag{1.7}$$

where the subscript G_0 denotes coinvariants, which, again combined with Theorem A, yields:

Theorem B (Subgroup complex sequence)**.** *For G a finite group with smallest strongly p–embedded subgroup G_0, and k a field of characteristic p dividing $|G|$, we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times) \to T_k(G,S) \to H^1(\mathcal{S}_p(G_0); k^\times)^{G_0} \to H^2(G_0; k^\times)$$

where superscript G_0 means invariants.

In particular if $(H_1(\mathcal{S}_p(G))_{p'})_G = 0$, then $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times)$, and if $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is simply connected, then $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$.

In words, $T_k(G,S)$ is an extension of $\mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times)$ by a "very exotic" part which can be described as the kernel of the boundary map $H^1(\mathcal{S}_p(G_0); k^\times)^{G_0} \to H^2(G_0; k^\times)$. The group $H^2(G_0; k^\times)$ identifies with the p'–part of the Schur multiplier of G_0 if k has enough units, e.g., if k is algebraically closed.

There is already an extensive literature on when $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is simply connected, and we draw consequences from this in Sections 1.5. E.g., it is known to hold (except known small exceptions) for symmetric groups [Kso03, Kso04], groups of Lie type at the characteristic [Qui78], as well as certain groups of Lie type away from the characteristic [Das95, Das98, Das00], and many sporadic groups [Smi11, §9.1]. It is expected to hold for many more. Note also that if G and H have order divisible by p and no strongly p–embedded subgroup, then the p–subgroup complex of $\mathcal{S}_p(G \times H)$ will be simply connected by an old result of Quillen [Qui78, Prop. 2.6]. Generalizing this, Aschbacher [Asc93] has, in a certain sense, made a reduction of simply connectivity to the case of simple groups, modulo a special case of an old conjecture of Quillen; see also Section 6.4. (Aschbacher uses the commuting complex $K_p(G)$ which is G–homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$; see e.g., [Gro02, p. 431] and [Smi11, §9.3].) We describe in the appendix Section 7 precisely how the fundamental group changes with the collection of p–subgroups, for subgroup categories as well as orbit categories and other categories studied in this paper.

Before moving on we record the following corollary of Theorem A already explained above by (1.6) and (1.4):

Corollary C. *For any finite group G and k any field of characteristic p dividing the order of G,*

$$T_k(G,S) \cong H^1(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|_{hG}; k^\times)$$

In particular if $H_1(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|_{hG})_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1(G)_{p'}$ then $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$.

From this perspective, the exotic endotrivial modules parametrizes the failure of the collection of non-trivial p–subgroups to be "$H_1(-;\mathbb{Z})$–ample" in the spirit of Dwyer [Dwy97, 1.3]; see Remark 4.5. From this result we can also deduce a very recent result of Balmer [Bal]; see Remark 4.4.

1.2. **Homology decomposition descriptions.** We now use homology decomposition techniques to get formulas for $T_k(G,S)$. These techniques have a long history for providing results about mod p group cohomology; see e.g., [Dwy97, Gro02, GS06] and their references. In our setting we can use them to describe the low-degree p'–homology of $|\mathcal{C}|_{hG}$, by examining the bottom corner of spectral sequences. More precisely, given a *collection* $\mathcal{C}$ of subgroups (i.e., a set of subgroups closed under conjugation), there are 3 homology decompositions one usually considers associated to the G–action on $|\mathcal{C}|$: the subgroup decomposition, the normalizer decomposition, and the centralizer decomposition. In our context of p'–homology, the subgroup decomposition does not provide new information, since the values are p–groups. Let us start with the normalizer decomposition.

Theorem D (Normalizer decomposition)**.** *Let G be a finite group, k any field of characteristic p, and $\mathcal{C}$ a collection of non-trivial p–subgroups such that the inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ is a G–homotopy equivalence, e.g., $\mathcal{C}$ the collection $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$ of non-trivial p–radical subgroups or $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$ of non-trivial elementary abelian p–subgroups. Then*

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \lim_{[P_0<\cdots<P_n]} H^1(N_G(P_0<\cdots<P_n);k^\times)$$

a subset of $H^1(N_G(S)/S);k^\times)$, where the limit is taken over conjugacy classes of chains in $\mathcal{C}$ ordered by refinement. Explicitly:

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \ker\left(\oplus_{[P]}H^1(N_G(P);k^\times) \to \oplus_{[P<Q]}H^1(N_G(P)\cap N_G(Q);k^\times)\right)$$

A summary of the many different possibilities for $\mathcal{C}$ can be found in [GS06, Thm. 1.1]; see also Section 7. We note that the data going into calculating the righthand side, normalizers of chains of say p–radical subgroups, or elementary abelian p–subgroups, has been tabulated for a large number of groups, and this relates to a host of problems in local group and representation theory, such as the classification of finite simple groups and conjectures of Alperin, McKay, Dade, etc. The only extra input over Theorem A and the isotropy spectral sequence to obtain Theorem D is a result of Symonds [Sym98], known as Webb's conjecture, which we provide a short proof of in the appendix Proposition 7.8, which appears to be new.

We now explain the centralizer decomposition, which ties into fusion systems. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ denote the restricted p–fusion system of G with objects $P \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)}(P,Q) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{F}_p(G)}(P,Q)/C_G(P)$ i.e., monomorphisms induced by G–conjugation. We use the shorthand $\mathcal{F}_p^*(G)$ when $\mathcal{C}$ is the collection of all non-trivial p–subgroups.

Theorem E (Centralizer decomposition)**.** *For G a finite group and k a field of characteristic p dividing the order of G, we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G);k^\times) \to T_k(G,S) \to \lim_{V\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(G)} H^1(C_G(V);k^\times) \to H^2(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G);k^\times)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_p$ denotes the collection of non-trivial non-trivial elementary abelian p–subgroups.

In particular, if $H_1(C_G(x))_{p'} = 0$ for all elements x of order p, and $H_1(N_G(S)/S)$ is generated by elements in $N_G(S)$ that commute with some non-trivial element in S, then $T_k(G,S) = 0$.

This breaks $T_k(G,S)$ up into parts depending on the underlying fusion system and parts calculated from centralizers. In particular $H^1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G);k^\times)$ is a subgroup of $T_k(G,S)$ depending *only* on the fusion system. We describe how to calculate cohomology of $\mathcal{F}_p^*(G)$ in Sections 4 and 7; the first cohomology group is in fact zero in many, but not all, cases. The assumptions of the 'in particular' are often satisfied for the sporadic groups, e.g., the Monster.

1.3. **The Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture.** Theorem D implies the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture, which predicts an algorithm for calculating $T_k(G,S)$ from p–local information, essentially by a change of language, taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_p(G)$. Set $A^{p'}(G) = O^{p'}(G)[G,G]$, the smallest normal subgroup of G such that the quotient is an abelian p'–group.

Theorem F (The Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture [CT15, Ques. 5.5])**.** *Let G be a finite group with non-trivial Sylow p–subgroup S, and define $\rho^r(S) \leq N_G(S)$ (depending on G and S) via the following definition (cf.* [CMN14, Prop. 5.7] [CT15, §4]*): $\rho^1(Q) = A^{p'}(N_G(Q))$, $\rho^i(Q) = \langle N_G(Q) \cap \rho^{i-1}(R) | 1 < R \leq S \rangle \supseteq \rho^{i-1}(Q)$. Then*

$$H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)) \cong N_G(S)/\rho^r(S)$$

for any $r \geq 1 + \dim \mathcal{B}_p(G)$ (= the number of groups in the longest chain of non-trivial p–radical subgroups). Hence by Theorem A, for any such r and any field k of characteristic p,

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/\rho^r(S), k^\times)$$

This in fact strengthens the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture, by providing a rather manageable bound on r. (The original conjecture was only a prediction about the union $\rho^\infty(S)$, and also had an algebraically closed assumption on k.) Furthermore, as in Theorem D, one may in fact restrict attention to p–radical subgroups. Theorem F is well adapted to implementation on a computer, and indeed Carlson has already made one such implementation; to use this for proofs, a theoretical bound on when the ρ^i stabilize is obviously necessary. We remark that $1 + \dim \mathcal{B}_p(G) \leq \log_p |S|$, a weaker but more basic bound.

As already noted, the inverse limit in Theorem D identifies with a subset of $H^1(N_G(S); k^\times)$. One may naïvely ask if the limit could simply be described as the elements in $H^1(N_G(S); k^\times)$ whose restriction to $H^1(N_G(P) \cap N_G(S); k^\times)$ was zero on $A^{p'}(N_G(P)) \cap N_G(S)$ for all $[P] \in \mathcal{C}/G$, an obvious necessary condition to extend to an element in the limit, i.e., if we in the language of Theorem F could always take $r = 2$. Computer calculations announced in [CT15], say this is not the case for $G_2(5)$ when $p = 3$. The main theorem of that paper [CT15, Thm. 5.1] however show that this naïve guess *is* true when S is abelian. As a corollary of Theorem D we can also generalize this:

Corollary G. *Suppose that all non-trivial p–radical subgroups in G with $P < S$ are normal in S, then*

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \ker\Big(H^1(N_G(S); k^\times) \to \oplus_{[P]} H^1(N_G(S) \cap A^{p'}(N_G(P)); k^\times)\Big)$$

where $[P]$ runs through $N_G(S)$–conjugacy classes of non-trivial p–radical subgroups with $P < S$. In particular in the notation of Theorem F

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/\rho^2(S), k^\times)$$

More generally, suppose only that for each $N_G(S)$–conjugacy class of pairs $[P \leq Q]$, with $P, Q < S$ both p–radical in G, $N_G(P \leq Q \leq S) A^{p'}(N_G(P \leq Q)) = N_G(P \leq Q)$, then the same conclusion holds.

The last part of the corollary provides a strengthening of Carlson–Thevenaz's more technical [CT15, Thm. 7.1], which instead of abelian assumes that $N_G(S)$ controls p–fusion along with extra conditions; see Remark 5.7. Corollary G however moves beyond these cases with limited fusion, and e.g., also holds for finite groups of Lie type in characteristic p. To illustrate the failure in general we calculate $G_2(5)$ at $p = 3$ in Proposition 6.3, using Theorem D.

1.4. **Further relations to fusion systems.** Consider the orbit category $\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G)$ with objects G/P for P in a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of subgroups. In Section 4 and 7 we analyze the effect on $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G))$ of adding or removing a conjugacy class of subgroups from $\mathcal{C}$, which can again be combined with the homology decomposition methods of Section 5, where e.g., the centralizer decomposition describes a precise relationship between the abelianization of $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G))$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(G))$. We here state a rough, but nevertheless useful relationship, in the theorem below. Recall that a p–subgroup is called centric if $Z(P) = C_G(P)$, p–centric if $Z(P)$ is a Sylow p–subgroup in $C_G(P)$; we denote the restriction to the collection of p–centric subgroups by a superscript c.

Theorem H. *We have the following commutative diagram of monomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_k(G,S) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{O}^c_p(G)),k^\times) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/S,k^\times) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)),k^\times) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c_p(G)),k^\times) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/SC_G(S),k^\times) \end{array}$$

In particular if all p–centric p–radical subgroups are centric then $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}^c_p(G)) \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c_p(G))$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)),k^\times) \leq T_k(G,S) \leq \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c_p(G)),k^\times)$$

The condition that p–radical p–centric subgroups are centric is satisfied for finite groups of Lie type at the characteristic, but also holds e.g., for many sporadic groups. By [BCG$^+$07, §5.1], $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c)$ parametrizes subsystems of $\mathcal{F}$ of p'–index, and the condition that $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c) = 1$ is e.g., one of the conditions for a fusion system to be reduced [AOV12, Def. 2.1]. Calculating $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c)$ is an area of current interest within p–local group theory—see e.g., [AOV12, §4], [Rui07], and [Asc11, Ch. 16]. In general the inclusions in the diagram of Theorem H may all be strict. E.g., for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and p not dividing q, the main theorem in Ruiz [Rui07] states that $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q))) \cong \mathbb{Z}/e$ for e the multiplicative order of q mod p and $n \geq ep$, whereas $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}^*_p(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q))) = 1$ when the p–rank of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$ is at least 3 by Section 1.1 combined with [Qui78, Thm. 12.4] [Das95, Thm. A].

It is natural to wonder if there are further group and representation theoretic interpretations of the finite p'–groups $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}^*_p)$, $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}^c_p)$, $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c_p)$, and $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p)$ yet to be found?

1.5. **Computational results.** To illustrate the computational potential we will in Section 6 go through different classes of groups: symmetric, groups of Lie type, sporadic, p–solvable, and others, obtaining new results, and reproving a range of old results. We briefly summarize this:

For sporadic groups the data needed to apply Theorems D, E and H has already largely been tabulated, due to interest from other reasons, as already mentioned. We demonstrate this for the Monster, where the more difficult primes $p = 3, 5, 7, 11$, and 13, left open in the recent paper [LM15b]. We get that for those primes it is zero, except for $p = 11$, where it is $\mathbb{Z}/5$; see Theorem 6.1. It should be possible to fill in the remaining cases left open in [LM15b] using similar arguments, but this is outside the scope of the present paper.

For symmetric groups and finite groups of Lie type in characteristic p, the p–subgroup complex is known to be generically simply connected, so we recover results of Carlson–Hemmer–Mazza–Nakano, using Theorem B. For finite groups of Lie type groups in arbitrary characteristic, the p–subgroup complex is also believed to generically be a wedge of high dimensional spheres, which would imply that there were no exotic Sylow–trivial modules by Theorem B. This has been verified in a number of cases, and we recover very recent results of Carlson–Mazza–Nakano for the general linear group for any characteristic, again using Theorem B, and for symplectic groups we get the following new result.

Theorem I. *Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, and k a field of characteristic p. If the order of q mod p is odd, and G has an elementary abelian p–subgroup of rank 3, then $T_k(G,S) = 0$.*

It seems like this approach can be carried significantly further. For p–solvable groups above p–rank one it is known that there are no exotic Sylow–trivial modules by recent work of Carlson–Mazza–Thevenaz and Robinson–Navarro using the classification of finite simple groups. We link this result to stronger conjectures by Quillen and Aschbacher about the connectivity of the p–subgroup complex for p–solvable groups. Finally, we see standard facts for uncomplicated groups as conveniently encoded in our formulas.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we state conventions and introduce the various categories and constructions needed for the main results, providing a fair amount of detail in the hope of making the paper accessible to both group representation theorists and topologists. Section 3

proves Theorem A, only relying on the recollections in Section 2. In Section 4 we establish results about fundamental groups, and establish and deduce the consequences described in Sections 1.1 and 1.4. Section 5 proves the decompositions and the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture, as stated in Sections 1.2 and 1.3. Section 6 goes through the computational consequences. Finally the appendix Section 7 collects some results about changing the collection of subgroups, which are used at points in Section 1.1–6, in particular in the computations, and should also be of independent interest.

Acknowledgements. The idea for this paper arose from hearing a talk by Jon Carlson at Eric Friedlander's 70th birthday conference at USC explaining his conjecture with Thevenaz [CT15] and Balmer's work on weak homomorphisms [Bal13]. I'm grateful to him and Paul Balmer for inspiring conversations on that occasion. I would also like to thank Ellen Henke, Anne Henke, and Bob Oliver for patiently answering my group theoretic questions, and Bob Oliver also for offering helpful comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

2. Notation and preliminaries

This short section collects some conventions, definitions of the categories we use, as well as the notion of coefficient systems and model for stmod_{kG} used in Theorem A; we give some detail in the hope to make the paper accessible to both group theorists and topologists. The casual reader might want to only glance the definitions in Section 2.3 on coefficient systems used in Section 3, and refer back to it at relevant points in that section if confusion arises.

2.1. **Conventions.** In this paper G will always be an arbitrary finite group and p an arbitrary prime dividing the order of G (to avoid having to make special statements in the trivial case where this is not so). We use the notation S for it's Sylow p–subgroup and G_0 for the smallest strongly p–embedded subgroup of G containing S; see (1.1) and Remark 3.5. By k we will always mean a field of characteristic p, but subject to no further restrictions, like algebraically closed. Our kG–modules will not be assumed finitely generated, though everything could also be phrased inside the smaller category of finitely generated modules with the same result. As stated in the introduction we use the term *Sylow-trivial* for our basic objects, kG–modules that restrict a trivial modules direct sum a projective module on the Sylow p–subgroup. (Such modules are endotrivial kG–modules, since endotriviality is detected on S.) By a collection of p–subgroups $\mathcal{C}$, we mean a set of p–subgroups of G, closed under conjugation. We use standard notation for various specific collections of p–subgroups, like non-trivial elementary abelian p–subgroups $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$, non-trivial p–radical subgroups $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$, etc, which we also recall in the appendix Section 7. We use standard group theoretic notation, except $(-)_{p'}$ and $A^{p'}(-)$ which were defined earlier in the introduction. By a space we will for convenience mean a simplicial set, $|\cdot|$ denotes the nerve functor from categories to simplicial sets, and homotopy equivalence means homotopy equivalence after geometric realization; group theorists not familiar with simplicial sets can find a lucid introduction in [DH01].

2.2. **Categorical constructions.** Define the transport category $\mathscr{T}(G)$ as the category with objects all subgroups of G and morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}(P,Q) = \{g \in G | {}^gP \leq Q\}$, i.e., the Grothendieck construction (or transport category) of the left conjugation action of G on the poset of all subgroups. We have a quotient functor $\mathscr{T}(G) \to \mathscr{O}(G)$ which on objects assigns G/H to H and sends $(g, {}^gH \leq K)$ to the G–map given by $eH \mapsto g^{-1}K$; see also e.g., [tD87, I.10]. Denote by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ and $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ the full subcategories with objects G/H and H respectively, for $H \in \mathcal{C}$, and we continue to use the notation $\mathscr{O}^*_p(G)$ and $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ for these categories when $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ is the collection of all non-trivial p–subgroups. We also introduce the fusion category fusion $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ and fusion-orbit category $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ both with objects $P \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)}(P,Q) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)}(P,Q)/C_G(P) \text{ and } \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)}(P,Q) = Q\backslash \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)}(P,Q)/C_G(P)$$

respectively, i.e., monomorphisms induced by conjugation in G and ditto modulo conjugation in the target. (The fusion-orbit category is called the exterior quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ by Puig [Pui06].) All four categories hence have object-set identifiable with $\mathcal{C}$, an morphisms related via quotients

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) & \twoheadrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) & \twoheadleftarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \end{array}$$

2.3. **Coefficient systems.** We recall the definition of G–local coefficient systems from [Gro02, §2.1], which is slightly more general than what is usually considered in topology (but similar to definitions used in group theory [RS86, §1]). Recall that to a space X we can associate the *category of simplices* ΔX (aka the simplex, or division, category $\Delta \downarrow X$), with objects all simplices, and morphisms given by iterated face and degeneracy maps [GJ99, I.2][DK83, 5.1]. A general (homological) G–local coefficient system on a G–space X is just a functor $A\colon (\Delta X)_G \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$, to k–vector spaces, where $(\Delta X)_G$ is the associated transport category (alias Grothendieck construction) of the left G–action on ΔX; it has objects the simplices of X and morphisms from σ to τ consists of pairs $(g, f\colon g\sigma \to \tau)$ where $g \in G$ and f is a face or degeneracy map. The chain complex $C_*(X; A)$, with $C_n(X; A) = \oplus_\sigma A(\sigma)$, and the standard simplicial differential, is a chain complex of kG–modules via the induced G–action, $A((g, \mathrm{id}_{g\sigma})) : A(\sigma) \to A(g\sigma)$; see e.g., [Gro02, §2] (where contravariant, i.e., cohomological, coefficient systems are considered). More generally we can consider $C_*(X; A)$ as a functor from $\mathscr{O}(G)^{\mathrm{op}}$ to chain complexes via $G/H \mapsto C_*(X^H; A^H)$, with $A^H(\sigma) = A(\sigma)^H$, and $(G/H \xrightarrow{g} G/H') \mapsto C_*(X^{H'}; A^{H'}) \xrightarrow{A(g,id)} C_*(X^H; A^H)$, with orbit category notation as explained in above Section 2.2; in particular $N_G(H)/H$ acts on $C_*(X^H; A^H)$, which is the standard action when H is trivial.

Three special kinds of these general coefficient systems play a special role: One is *G–categorial coefficient systems* where X is the nerve of a small G–category $\mathbf{D}$ and A is induced by a functor $\mathbf{D}_G \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$, via $(\Delta|\mathbf{D}|)_G \to \mathbf{D}_G \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$, where $\Delta|\mathbf{D}| \to \mathbf{D}$ is given by $(d_0 \to \cdots \to d_n) \mapsto d_0$. Another is a Bredon *$G$–isotropy coefficient systems*, in which A is induced by a functor $\mathscr{O}(G) \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$ via the functor $(\Delta X)_G \to \mathscr{O}(G)$, given by $\sigma \mapsto G/G_\sigma$ and $(g, f\colon g\sigma \to \tau) \mapsto (G/G_\sigma \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} G/G_{g\sigma} \xrightarrow{f} G/G_\tau)$. A third kind is a *$G$–twisted coefficient system*, in which $A : (\Delta X)_G \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$ is assumed to send all morphisms to isomorphisms. (A twisted coefficient system is also sometimes called invertible; compare [Qui78, §7],[Ben91b, 6.2.3].) By the universal property, such A factor through the fundamental groupoid of the category $(\Delta X)_G$, which is equivalent to the fundamental groupoid of X_{hG} by Thomason's theorem [Tho79, Thm. 1.2] (see Remark 2.2) and the homotopy equivalence $|\Delta X| \simeq X$ [GJ99, §IV.5.1]. In particular if X_{hG} is connected, specifying a G–twisted coefficient system is equivalent to specifying a $\pi_1(X_{hG}, x)$–module M, for some choice of basepoint $x \in X_{hG}$. Note also that if $X = |\mathbf{D}|$, then specifying a G–twisted coefficient system is the same as giving a functor on the fundamental groupoid of $\mathbf{D}_G$, i.e., on $\pi_1(\mathbf{D}_G)$ if $\mathbf{D}_G$ is connected, again by Thomasson's theorem; in particular any twisted coefficient system will be categorical in this case. By the above description, G–isotropy coefficient systems are G–homotopy invariants of X and G–twisted coefficient systems even hG-homotopy invariants of X (i.e., invariant under G–maps which are homotopy equivalences); neither of this is true for arbitrary G–local coefficient systems.

2.3.1. *Coefficient systems on subgroup complexes.* In our special case of interest $X = |\mathcal{C}|$, for $\mathcal{C}$ a collection, specifying a G–categorical coefficient system on $\mathcal{C}$ is hence the same as specifying a functor $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$, and a G–twisted coefficient system the same as specifying a functor $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$ sending all morphisms to isomorphisms. Furthermore our $\mathcal{C}$ will usually be such that $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a connected category (e.g., if $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of p–subgroups containing S), and hence a G–twisted coefficient system can be identified with a $k\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}, P)$–module, for $P \in \mathcal{C}$. In

particular, a one-dimensional $k\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}, P)$-module is just a homomorphism $\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}, P) \to k^\times$. So, for $\varphi\colon \mathscr{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$, we consider the corresponding functor k_φ from $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ to one dimensional k–vector spaces and isomorphisms, and equip $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ with the canonical G–twisted coefficient induced by k_φ, via the composite

$$(\Delta|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|)_G \to \mathcal{S}_p(G)_G = \mathscr{T}_p(G) \to \mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$$

Explicitly, $\Delta|\mathcal{S}_p(G)| \to \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ sends $(P_0 \leq \cdots \leq P_n) \mapsto P_0$ and $\mathcal{S}_p(G)_G \to \mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ sends $({}^gP \leq P', g) \mapsto (G/P \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} G/P')$. Note that non-equivariantly this is a twisted coefficient system in the ordinary (non-equivariant) sense, depending on the fundamental groupoid of $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$.

Remark 2.1 (On op's and inverses)**.** Since op's and inverses are a common source of light confusion, we make a few remarks about their presence in the formulas: A group G viewed as a category with one object is isomorphic as a category to G^{op} via the map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. In particular $\mathscr{T}(G)$ is isomorphic to the category with morphism set $\mathrm{Mor}'(P,Q) = \{g \in G | P^g \leq Q\}$, which is the Grothendieck construction $\mathcal{S}_p(G)_{G^{\mathrm{op}}}$. Redefining the transport category this way would get rid of the inverse appearing in the formula for the projection map $\mathscr{T}(G) \to \mathscr{O}(G)$; alternatively one can reparametrize of the orbit category, the choice made e.g., in [AKO11, III.5.1]. Notice also that when we are considering functors to an abelian group such as $k^\times$, viewed as a category with one object, covariant functors naturally *equals* covariant functors. (The identification using the isomorphism between G and G^{op} produces the automorphism given by "pointwise inverse".)

Remark 2.2 (Thomason's theorem for Borel constructions)**.** We have used at various points that $|\mathbf{D}_G| \cong |\mathbf{D}|_{hG}$ for a small category $\mathbf{D}$ with an action of G, where $\mathbf{D}_G$ is the Grothendieck construction and $|\mathbf{D}|_{hG}$ the Borel construction, a special case of Thomason's theorem [Tho79, Thm. 1.2]. In this special case it is elementary, as we just recall: The Grothendieck construction $\mathbf{D}_G$ has objects the objects of $\mathbf{D}$ and morphisms from x to y given by a pair $(g, f\colon gx \to y)$, where $x, y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$. Define the category $E\mathbf{G}$ to be the category with objects the elements of G and a unique morphism between all elements, so that $|E\mathbf{G}| = EG$, the universal free contractible G–space. Our group G acts freely on the product category $E\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{D}$, on objects given by $g \cdot (h, x) = (hg^{-1}, gx)$. The quotient $E\mathbf{G} \times_G \mathbf{D}$ identifies with $\mathbf{D}_G$. Since the nerve functor commutes with products and free G–actions we have identifications

$$|\mathbf{D}|_{hG} = EG \times_G |\mathbf{D}| \cong |E\mathbf{G} \times_G \mathbf{D}| = |\mathbf{D}_G|$$

as wanted.

2.4. **Rickard's theorem.** The last thing we recall is the equivalence

$$\mathrm{stmod}_{kG} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(kG)/K^b(kG)$$

between the stable module category of finitely generated kG-modules, and the bounded derived category of finitely generated kG–modules, modulo perfect complexes [Ric89, Thm. 2.1], which was employed in Theorem A. The map in the one direction is obvious, viewing a module as a chain complex concentrated in degree zero. Let us also explain (following [Ric89, Pf. of Thm. 2.1]) the map in the other direction, which is the one used: Represent the object in $D^b(kG)$ by a bounded below complex P_*, of finitely generated projectives. In $D^b(kG)/K^b(kG)$ this complex is equivalent to its truncation $\bar{P}_* = \cdots P_{r+1} \to P_r \to 0 \to \cdots$, where we take r to be the degree of the top non-trivial homology class. This complex has homology only in degree r, and we take the module to be the $-r$th Heller shift of of the rth homology group, i.e., $\Omega^{-r}(P_r/\operatorname{im}(d_{i+1}))$, which is well defined as an object of stmod_{kG}.

3. Proof of Theorem A

In this section we prove Theorem A, just using the preparations from the preceding section. We start with some elementary facts about Sylow-trivial modules, including dealing with the finite-dimensionality issue once and for all.

Proposition 3.1. *Any Sylow-trivial kG–module is of the form $N \oplus P$, where N is an indecomposable direct summand of $k[G/S]$ and P is projective.*

If $O_p(G) \neq 1$, then any indecomposable Sylow-trivial kG–module is one-dimensional.

Proof. This is well known, and follows from [BBC09, Thm. 2.1] and [MT07, Lem. 2.6], but since it is among the few "classical" representation theory facts that we use, it makes sense to give a direct proof. (We also remark that finite dimensionality is not used, and could be deduced a posteriori from Theorem A.): Let M be our Sylow-trivial module, and recall that M is a direct summand of $M\downarrow_S^G\uparrow_S^G$, since the composite of the kG–map $M \to M\downarrow_S\uparrow^G = kG\otimes_{kS} M$, $m \mapsto \sum_{g_i\in G/S} g_i\otimes g_i^{-1}m$, and the kG–map $M\downarrow_S\uparrow^G \to M$, $g\otimes m \mapsto gm$, is multiplication by $|G:S|$, which is a unit in k. (See also e.g., [Ben91a, Cor. 3.6.10], where the blanket assumption that all modules are finitely generated is not being used.) By assumption $M\downarrow_S \cong k\oplus(\text{proj})$, so M is a summand of $k\uparrow_S^G \oplus(\text{proj})$. As explained in [Ric97, Lem. 3.1], any kG–module, also infinite dimensional, can be written as a direct sum of a projective module and a module without projective summands, and by [Ric97, Lem. 3.2] this decomposition respects direct sums. This shows that $M \cong N\oplus P$, with N a non-projective direct summand of $k\uparrow_S^G$. But then N furthermore has to be indecomposable, since otherwise it cannot be Sylow-trivial.

Now assume $O_p(G)\neq 1$. Since M is a direct summand of $k\uparrow_S^G$ by the first part, then $M\downarrow_S^G$ is a direct summand of $k\uparrow_S^G\downarrow_S^G \cong \oplus_{g\in S\backslash G/S} k\uparrow_{S\cap {}^gS}^S$. However, this module does not contain any projective summands, since $S\cap {}^gS \neq 1$ by assumption. Hence $M\downarrow_S^G \cong k$ as wanted. □

Let us also give the following well known special case of the Green correspondence [Ben91a, Thm. 3.12.2] (see also [CMN06, Prop. 2.6(a)]), which is used for injectivity of the map Φ of Theorem A.

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a kG–module such that $M\downarrow_{N_G(S)} \cong k\oplus(\text{proj})$. Then $M\cong k\oplus(\text{proj})$. In particular $T_k(G,S) \leq T_k(N_G(S),S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/S, k^\times)$.*

Proof. As mentioned this is a special case of the Green correspondence, but let us extract a direct argument: By Proposition 3.1 it is enough to see that if M is indecomposable, then $M\cong k$. So, set $N = N_G(S)$, and assume that M is an indecomposable kG–module such that $M\downarrow_N^G \cong k\oplus(\text{proj})$. As in Proposition 3.1, M will be a summand of $M\downarrow_N^G\uparrow_N^G \cong k\uparrow_N^G \oplus(\text{proj})$, and hence a summand of $k\uparrow_N^G \cong k\oplus L$, for L a complement of $k(\sum_{g\in G/N} gN)$. But $L\downarrow_S^G \cong \oplus_{g\in S\backslash G/N, g\notin N} k\uparrow_{S\cap {}^gN}^S$, and in particular it does not contain k as a direct summand. Hence M has to be a direct summand of k, i.e., equal to k as wanted. The statement about $T_k(G,S)$ follows from this, and Proposition 3.1. □

We can already now prove a part of Theorem A.

Proposition 3.3. *For any Sylow-trivial module M, $G/P \mapsto \hat H^0(P;M) = M^P/(\sum_{g\in P} g)M$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{O}_p^*(G)^{\mathrm{op}}$ to one-dimensional k–modules and isomorphisms, which we can identify with a functor $\mathcal{O}_p^*(G)\to k^\times$.*

The assignment that sends a Sylow-trivial module M to the above functor defines an injective group homomorphism $\Phi\colon T_k(G,S)\to H^1(\mathcal{O}_p^*(G);k^\times)$.

Proof. Notice that $M\downarrow_{N_G(P)}$ is also Sylow-trivial, since this property is preserved by restriction, and by what we have just proved in Proposition 3.1, it splits as one-dimensional plus projective if P is a non-trivial p–subgroup. Hence $\hat H^0(P;M) = M^P/(\sum_{g\in P} g)M$ is one-dimensional, and

it is obviously a functor on the p–orbit category, which sends $G/P \xrightarrow{g} G/P'$ to the morphism $M^{P'}/(\sum_{g\in P'} g)M \to M^P/(\sum_{g\in P} g)M$ given by multiplication by g. Picking a basepoint, say $M^S/(\sum_{g\in S} g)M$, identifies this with a functor $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$. (See also Remark 2.1 for a discussion of variance.)

It is clear that map $T_k(G,S) \to H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$ is a group homomorphism, where the group structure on the right is pointwise multiplication. It is injective by the last part of Proposition 3.2, since if $\Phi(M)$ is the identity, then the action of $N_G(S)$ on $\hat{H}^0(S;M)$ is in particular trivial. □

The next proposition gives surjectivity of Φ.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\varphi\colon \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)) \to k^\times$ be a homomorphism and equip $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ with the corresponding G–twisted coefficient system k_φ, as in Section 2.3.1. Then for any non-trivial p–subgroup P we have the following zig-zag of equivalences in $D^b(kN_G(P))/K^b(kN_G(P))$:*

$$k_\varphi(G/P) \leftarrow C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|^P; k_\varphi) \to C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$$

In particular $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$ gives a Sylow-trivial module M via $\mathrm{stmod}_{kG} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(kG)/K^b(kG)$ (cf. Section 2.4) and $\Phi(M) = \varphi$.

Proof. First recall that for a non-trivial p–subgroup P, $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|^P$ is $N_G(P)$–equivariantly contractible by Quillen's argument: $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|^P = |\mathcal{S}_p(G)^P|$, which is contractible via a contracting homotopy induced by $Q \le PQ \le P$; see [Qui78, 1.3] [GS06, Rec. 2.1]. Hence the left map is an equivalence in $D^b(kN_G(P))$ by the $N_G(P)$–homotopy equivalences $|\{P\}| \to |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|^P \to |\{P\}|$ and the fact that G–twisted coefficient systems are G–homotopy invariant (cf. Section 2.3).

That the right map is an equivalence in $D^b(kN_G(P))/K^b(kN_G(P))$ is an adaption of Quillen's proof that the (reduced) Steinberg complex is isomorphic to a finite complex of projectives [Qui78, Prop 4.1 and 4.5] (see also [Web91, Thm. 2.7.4] and [Sym08]): Choose a Sylow p–subgroup S' of $N_G(P)$, and note that it is enough to prove that the map is an equivalence in $D^b(kS')/K^b(kS')$, since projectivity is detected on a Sylow p–subgroup. Also since $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|^{S'} \to |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|^P$ is a an S'–homotopy equivalence, it is enough to prove the statement with P replaced by S'. Now, set $\Delta = |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ and Δ_s is singular set under the S'–action, and note that by definition we have an exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \to C_*(\Delta_s, \Delta^{S'}; k_\varphi) \to C_*(\Delta, \Delta^{S'}; k_\varphi) \xrightarrow{f} C_*(\Delta, \Delta_s; k_\varphi) \to 0$$

As observed in [Qui78, Prop. 4.1] (though only stated for P the Sylow p–subgroup), the singular set Δ_s is contractible (to see this, one can also note that Δ_s is covered by the contractible subcomplexes Δ^Q, for $1 \neq Q \le S'$, all of whose intersections are also contractible; see [Seg68, §4]). Hence $\Delta^{S'} \to \Delta_s$ is a homotopy equivalence, and hence $C_*(\Delta_s, \Delta^{S'}; k_\varphi)$ is acyclic. Therefore f is an equivalence in $D^b(kS')$. By definition $C_*(\Delta, \Delta_s; k_\varphi)$ is a complex of free kS' modules, so $C_*(\Delta, \Delta^{S'}; k_\varphi)$ is in $K^b(kS')$ as wanted, establishing the first part of the proposition.

To see the claim about Sylow-trivial, it is enough to prove that $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$ is equivalent to the trivial module k in $D^b(kS)/K^b(kS)$, since the equivalence $\mathrm{stmod}_{kG} \to D^b(kG)/K^b(kG)$ is compatible with restriction. However this follows from the first part taking $P = S$.

We note that also $\Phi(C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)) = \varphi$. Namely, the identification of $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$ with k_φ in $\mathrm{stmod}_{kN_G(Q)}$ above is compatible with restriction and conjugation, so defines an isomorphism of functors on $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ (see also Section 2.3), which shows that $\Phi(C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)) = \varphi$ in $H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$ as wanted. (Alternatively, by Proposition 4.1 it is enough to see that the two functors agree as $kN_G(S)$–modules when evaluated on G/S, which follows by the first part.) □

Proof of Theorem A. By Proposition 3.3 Φ is a group monomorphism. Proposition 3.4 shows that $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$ does define a Sylow-trivial module via the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{stmod} \xrightarrow{\sim}$

$D^b(kG)/K^b(kG)$, and this assignment is a right inverse to Φ. So Φ is surjective as well, establishing Theorem A. □

Remark 3.5 (Strongly p–embedded subgroups)**.** Since $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G); k_\varphi) \cong C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G_0); k_\varphi) \uparrow^G_{G_0}$, Theorem A encodes the well known bijection between Sylow-trivial kG_0–modules and Sylow-trivial kG–modules, modulo projectives, given by induction. The question of when a group admits a strongly p–embedded subgroup is well understood—we point the reader e.g., to [Qui78, §5], [Asc93, (6.2)], [GL83, 24.1], and [GLS98, 7.6.1 and 7.6.2].

When $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of circles, a usual way for it to be non-simply connected, Theorem A gives the following model:

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that G is a group such that $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is G–homotopy equivalent to a one-dimensional complex (e.g., if G has p–rank at most 2, or at most one proper inclusion between p–radicals), and suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{O}^*_p(G)), k^\times)$ is not in the subgroup $\mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times)$.*

Then the corresponding Sylow–trivial module is given as

$$\Omega^{-1}(H_1(|\mathcal{S}_p(G_0); k_\varphi)) \uparrow^G_{G_0}$$

where Ω denotes Heller shift. □

Remark 3.7 (Kan extensions and homotopy Kan extensions)**.** As noted in the introduction, the kG–chain complex $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$ identifies with the homotopy colimit in chain complexes over k, $\mathrm{hocolim}_{P\in\mathcal{S}_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}}} k_\varphi$ (which has a natural G–action). This again identifies with the value on G/e of the homotopy left Kan extension of φ along $\mathscr{O}^*_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathscr{O}_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}}$.

The naïve guess for the inverse map in Theorem A, might have been the ordinary left Kan extension $\mathrm{colim}_{P\in\mathcal{S}_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}}} k_\varphi(G/P)$. This identifies with the 0th homology group of $C_*(|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|; k_\varphi)$, and is zero unless the endotrivial module is induced from a 1-dimensional module on a strongly p–embedded subgroup.

Remark 3.8 (More general modules from p–local information)**.** The process of constructing modules from p–local information via a homotopy left Kan extension as in Theorem A should be of interest also when the p–local modules are not one-dimensional. In particular it would be intersting to understand the work of Wheeler [Whe02] from this point of view.

Remark 3.9. Theorem A shows that it is the one-dimensional modules of $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}^*_p(G))$ that correspond to indecomposable Sylow-trivial kG–modules. One may wonder what role arbitrary $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}^*_p(G))$–representations play?

Remark 3.10 (Discrete valuation rings)**.** In this paper we have restricted attention to the case of a field k. However, most of the arguments appear to carry over to the case of lattices over a discrete valuation ring, with k as residue field.

Remark 3.11 (The structure of $T_k(G)$)**.** For the group of endo-trivial modules $T_k(G)$ in general, we have a decomposition as abelian groups

$$T_k(G) \cong \mathrm{im}(T_k(G) \to T_k(S)) \oplus T_k(G, S)$$

The torsion part of $\mathrm{im}(T_k(G) \to T_k(S))$, along with the splitting of the restriction map onto its image, has been established by Carlson–Mazza–Thevenaz [MT07, CMT13], using case-by-case analysis, building on [CT04, CT05]. The torsion-free rank of $\mathrm{im}(T_k(G) \to T_k(S))$ has been determined in [CMN06, §3], extending the work of Alperin [Alp01]. Hence, as an abstract abelian group, $\mathrm{im}(T_k(G) \to T_k(S))$ is known, but explicit generators for the torsion-free part are not; the (then) current state of affairs is summarized in [CMT14].

It is natural to speculate if the whole group of endo-trivial modules $T_k(G)$ admits an orbit-category description generalizing Theorem A. More precisely, one may wonder if there is an exact

sequence of the form

$$0 \to H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times) \to T_k(G) \to \lim_{G/P \in \mathscr{O}_p^*(G)} T_k(P) \to 0$$

This is at least true on the torsion subgroup via case-by-case considerations as in [CMT13]. Such a description would in particular imply the conjecture [CMT14, Conj. 10.1] that a fusion preserving homomorphism should induce an isomorphism on the torsion-free part of $T_k(G)$.

3.1. **Addendum: $A_k(G,S) \cong H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$.** The purpose of this addendum is to relate Balmer's notion of a weak homomorphism to $H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$, providing a different proof of Theorem A. This version is less direct since it uses the main result of [Bal13], where he identifies $T_k(G,S)$ with a group he calls $A_k(G,S)$ of weak S–homomorphisms from G to $k^\times$. However we feel it is worthwhile to include it since this comparison should allow us to play off the construction of endo-trivial modules in Theorem A with the construction of Balmer [Bal13, Constr. 2.5 and Thm. 2.9].

Proposition 3.12. *For any finite group and field k, $A_k(G,S) \cong H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$, where $A_k(G,S)$ is the group of weak homomorphisms of* [Bal13, Def. 2.2]*.*

Proof. A weak S–homomorphism is a map from G to $k^\times$ such that (WH1) $\varphi(g) = 1$ for $g \in S$, (WH2) $\varphi(g) = 1$ when $S \cap S^g = 1$, and (WH3) $\varphi(g)\varphi(h) = \varphi(gh)$ when $S \cap S^h \cap S^{gh} \neq 1$, where as usual $H^g = g^{-1}Hg$. What we shall do here is to prove that $A_k(G,S)$ identifies with $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times)$, by observing that there are canonical group homomorphisms in both directions, that we check are well defined and inverses to each other.

Up to equivalence of categories (which does not affect the conclusion of the theorem) we can replace $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$ by the equivalent full subcategory with objects G/P for $1 < P \leq S$, for our fixed Sylow p–subgroup S. We will use this category from now on. Recall furthermore the bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)}(G/P, G/Q) \cong \{g \in G | P^g \leq Q\}/Q$$

since a G–map is uniquely specified by an assignment $eP \mapsto gQ$, subject to the stated relations.

Recall that for an arbitrary connected small category $\mathcal{C}$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(|\mathcal{C}|, c)$, of the space $|\mathcal{C}|$ relative to some basepoint $c \in \mathcal{C}$, is equivalent as a category to the "fundamental groupoid" category formed by formally inverting all morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, as explained e.g., in [GZ67] [Qui73, Prop. 1.1] [GJ99, I.8]. (We use the convention that we compose loops as well as functions from right to left.) This fundamental groupoid functor is left adjoint to the inclusion functor from groupoids into categories. Concretely, in our case, viewing G/S as the basepoint in $|\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)|$, we have a functor $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G) \to \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$, where the latter is viewed as a category with one object, given by sending $f : G/P \to G/Q$ to the loop formed by running through the edges $G/S \leftarrow G/P$, f and $G/Q \to G/S$ in $|\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)|$ in that order. This induces a bijection,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Rep}(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G), k^\times)$$

where Rep means isomorphism classes of functions, which is an isomorphisms of abelian groups under pointwise multiplication in the target.

We hence are just left with verifying that isomorphism classes of functors $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$ agree with the group $A_k(G,S)$ that Balmer introduced:

Given $\varphi \in A_k(G,S)$ define $\Phi : \mathscr{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$ by sending a morphism $G/Q \xrightarrow{g} G/Q'$ to $\varphi(g)$. This is well defined, since replacing g by gq yields $\varphi(gq) = \varphi(g)\varphi(q) = \varphi(g)$ by (WH3) and (WH1). It is likewise a functor: By (WH1), $\Phi([\mathrm{id}_{G/Q}]) = \varphi(1) = 1$ and given a composite $G/Q \xrightarrow{g} G/Q' \xrightarrow{h} G/Q''$ we have $Q^g \leq Q' \leq S$ and $(Q')^h \leq Q'' \leq S$, so

$$S^{gh} \cap S^h \cap S = (S^g \cap S)^h \cap S \geq (Q^g)^h \cap S \geq Q^{gh} \cap S = Q^{gh} > 1$$

Hence by (WH3),

$$\Phi([h] \circ [g]) = \Phi[[gh]] = \varphi(gh) = \varphi(g)\varphi(h) = \varphi(h)\varphi(g) = \Phi([h])\Phi([g])$$

as wanted.

Conversely given a functor $\Phi : \mathscr{O}_p^*(G) \to k^\times$ construct a weak homomorphism $\varphi : G \to k^\times$ as follows: Set

$$\varphi(g) = \begin{cases} \Phi(G/({}^gS \cap S) \xrightarrow{[g]} G/(S \cap S^g)) & \text{if } S \cap S^g \neq 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (WH1) and (WH2) are satisfied. For (WH3) recall that by the discussion earlier in the proof, quotients $G/Q \to G/Q'$ for $Q \leq Q'$ are sent to the identity in $k^\times$. Now suppose that $S \cap S^h \cap^{gh} S \neq 1$ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/({}^{gh}S \cap {}^gS \cap S) & \xrightarrow{\qquad [gh] \qquad} & G/(S \cap S^h \cap S^{gh}) \\ & {\scriptstyle [g]} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle [h]} & \\ & G/({}^hS \cap S \cap S^g) & \end{array}$$

where the top map is the quotient of $G/({}^{gh}S \cap S) \xrightarrow{[gh]} G/(S \cap S^{gh})$, and similarly for the two other maps. Hence applying $\Phi(-)$ to this diagram, and using that quotients go to the identity we see that $\varphi(gh) = \Phi([gh]) = \Phi(h)\Phi(g) = \varphi(h)\varphi(g) = \varphi(g)\varphi(h)$ as wanted.

We have hence constructed maps back and forth between $A_k(G,S)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times)$ which are obviously group homomorphisms, under pointwise multiplication in the target, and inverses to each other. We conclude that

$$T_k(G,S) \cong A_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times) = H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$$

as wanted, using the Hurewicz and universal coefficient theorem. □

Remark 3.13. Another perspective on "weak homomorphisms" can be given by showing that they correspond to morphisms of partial groups in the sense of Chermak [Che13] from a locality of G based on all non-trivial subgroups p–subgroups to $k^\times$.

4. Fundamental groups of orbit and fusion categories

The goal of this section is describe how to calculate and manipulate our basic invariant $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$, and more generally $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ for a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of p–subgroups, and relate it to the transport category $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and fusion categories $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}$, using the notation introduced in Section 2.2.

We start out by establishing two lemmas, Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, referred to in the introduction, hence establishing the results of Section 1.1.

Proposition 4.1 (Bounds on $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$)**.** *Let G be a finite group and $\mathcal{C}$ a collection of p–subgroups closed under passage to p–overgroups. Fix a Sylow p–subgroup S and set $G_{0,\mathcal{C}} = \langle N_G(Q) | Q \leq S,\, Q \in \mathcal{C} \rangle$. Then $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$ are equivalent categories, and we have a sequence of surjections*

$$N_G(S)/S \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) \twoheadrightarrow G_{0,\mathcal{C}}/O^{p'}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}}) \twoheadrightarrow G/O^{p'}(G)$$

In particular $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ is a finite p'-group.

Proof. That $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$ are equivalent categories follows from Alperin's fusion theorem: By Sylow's theorem they are both equivalent to categories with their subcategories with objects G/Q for $Q \leq S$ and $Q \in \mathcal{C}$, for some fixed Sylow p–subgroup S. But now Alperin's fusion theorem [Alp67, §3] tells us that these subcategories are isomorphic.

Furthermore, by the Frattini argument we have surjections $N_G(S)/S \to G_{0,\mathcal{C}}/O^{p'}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$ and $N_G(S)/S \to G/O^{p'}(G)$, so we have established the lemma if we show the surjection $N_G(S)/S \to \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$. For this take G/S as basepoint for $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, and consider the functor $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \to \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ given by sending $G/P \xrightarrow{g} G/Q$, for $P, Q \leq S$, to the loop given by $G/S \leftarrow G/P \xrightarrow{g}$

$G/Q \to G/S$. Note that inclusions map to the identity in $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, since the diagram corresponding to the loop commutes, and that the image of the functor generates $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, since any loop can be written as a product of loops of this type and their inverses. Furthermore $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ is in fact generated by $N_G(P)/P$ for $P \leq S$, $P \in \mathcal{C}$ by Alperin's fusion theorem, using that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under passage to p–overgroups. (Compare also [BLO03b, Pf. of. Prop. 1.12].)

However, any element x in $N_G(P)/P$ of p–power order is zero in the fundamental group, since it will be conjugate to an element in S, which is zero: Concretely, pick g which conjugates $\langle x, P\rangle$ into S, then we can consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G/P & \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} & G/{}^gP & \longrightarrow & G/S \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^{-1}xg} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\ G/P & \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} & G/{}^gP & \longrightarrow & G/S \end{array}$$

which commutes since $g^{-1}xg \in S$. Hence $G/P \xrightarrow{x} G/P$ maps to zero in $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$. Alperin's fusion theorem [Alp67, §3] says that any conjugation $G/P \xrightarrow{g} G/Q$ can be obtained as a sequence of conjugations by p–power elements in $N_G(R)$ for p–groups containing a conjugate of P, and an element in $N_G(S)$. Combining this with the first observation we see that $N_G(S)/S \to \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ is surjective as wanted. □

Remark 4.2. Note that the factorization in Proposition 4.1 is natural with respect to the collection, so that if $\mathcal{C}' \leq \mathcal{C}$ have a surjection $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$, introducing a natural filtration on $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}^*_p(G))$.

Proposition 4.3 (Quotienting out by p–torsion)**.** *For G a finite group and $\mathcal{C}$ any collection of p–subgroups,*

$$\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \text{ and } \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'}$$

for any choice of basepoint P, and furthermore

$$H_*(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_*(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \text{ and } H_*(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_*(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'}$$

Here $(-)_{p'}$ means the quotient group, where we mod out by the subgroup generated by elements of p–power order.

Proof. We first prove $\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'}$. To talk about fundamental group, we need to have picked some basepoint P. (Without assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$ there is no canonical basepoint, and it could even happen that the categories were disconnected, though this never be the case for the $\mathcal{C}$ we are interested in, as will be clear.) Note that $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same path components, since the quotient functor is a bijection on objects and a surjection on morphisms. Choose for each $Q \in \mathcal{C}$ which lie in the same path component as P, a preferred path in $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ from P to Q, which induces a corresponding path in $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$. With such choices in place, we can again view morphisms in the category as generators for the fundamental group, as in Proposition 4.1 (instead of just a fundamental groupoid). Now, the morphisms in $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ surject onto the morphisms of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$, and if two morphisms in $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ are mapped to the same morphism in $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$, then they differ by a automorphisms of p–power order. Since the morphisms in the category generate the fundamental group, we conclude that $\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'}$ as wanted. The case $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'}$ is identical.

The statements about homology follow by a Grothendieck composite functor spectral sequence argument, since the difference lies only in p–groups. More precisely [BLO03a, Lem. 1.3] shows both maps are equivalences homology with $\mathbb{F}_\ell$–coefficients for all primes $\ell \neq p$. Since the spaces are finite type this implies equivalence in homology with $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$–coefficients for all primes $\ell \neq p$ and hence an isomorphism in homology with $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-coefficients. □

We have now justified all the ingredients in the next batch of theorems from the introduction:

Proof of Theorem B and Corollary C. These results were already established in the text of Section 1.1, using Theorem A, with forward references to two lemmas, Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, now justified. □

We end this subsection with some remarks and examples about the results and proofs so far:

Remark 4.4. Via a remark of Totaro [Bal, Rem. 2.7], and the long exact sequence in homology, Corollary C easily implies a very recent theorem of Balmer [Bal, Thm. 1.1], that identifies $T_k(G,S)$ with the p'–torsion part of the group of G–equivariant complex line bundles on $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$, when k is algebraically closed. Under the additional algebraically closed assumption an indirect way to Corollary C can also be reached by running this argument in reverse.

Remark 4.5. Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 should be compared to the situation at the prime p, where $H_*(\mathscr{T}_p(G))_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_*(G)_{(p)}$ by a classical result of Brown [Bro94, Thm. X.7.3], see also [Dwy97, 1.3].

Remark 4.6. In general $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ is not a p'–group without some assumption on $\mathcal{C}$, like closed under passage to p–overgroups; for example $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \cong B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$, for $G = \mathbb{Z}/4$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_2(G)$. Since we eventually discard p–power elements for the purpose of applying Theorem A, Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 7.1 tells us cases where we can proceed with weaker hypotheses.

Remark 4.7. For $\mathcal{C}$ a collection of p–subgroups of G, closed under passage to p–overgroups, and $N_G(S) \leq H \leq G$, then by Proposition 4.1 we have surjections

$$N_G(S)/S \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H)) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$$

Via Theorem A this can be seen as a refinement of the fact that restriction to H is injective on Sylow-trivial modules, as is usually seen via the Green correspondence [CMN06, Prop. 2.6(a)].

Remark 4.8. The category $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ is an example of an abstract transporter system as defined in [OV07]. In particular we can define coverings of $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ corresponding subgroups of $\mathscr{O}^*_p(G)$ by [OV07, Prop. A.4]. The relationship (1.6) indicates that $\mathscr{T}_p(G)$ and $\pi_1(\mathscr{T}_p(G))$ deserves closer study from a fusion and group theoretic viewpoint.

4.1. **Fundamental groups of fusion categories.** We now analyze the case of $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, and use this to prove Theorem H, as well as set the stage for later calculations involving the centralizer decomposition, Theorem E. The following lemma is dual of Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.9 (Bounds on $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$)**.** *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of p–subgroups closed under passage to p–overgroups. For $S \leq H \leq G$, set $\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(H) = \langle PC_H(P) | P \leq H, P \in \mathcal{C} \rangle$. Then we have canonical factorizations*

$$N_G(S)/SC_G(S) \twoheadrightarrow N_G(S)/\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(N_G(S)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(N_G(S))) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) \twoheadrightarrow G_{0,\mathcal{C}}/\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$$

with $G_{0,\mathcal{C}}$ as in Proposition 4.1.

If $\mathcal{C}$ contains all p–centric subgroups, then $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, a finite p'–group.

Proof. By Alperin's fusion theorem, as in Proposition 4.1, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$ are equivalent categories, so we can without restriction replace G by $G_{0,\mathcal{C}}$. We model $\pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ analogously to the proof of Proposition 4.1 with generators maps in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_p(G)$ between subgroups P, Q of S with $P, Q \in \mathcal{C}$, via the functor $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \to \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, taking S as basepoint. In this notation the rightmost epimorphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) \twoheadrightarrow G_{0,\mathcal{C}}/\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$ is the well-defined map sending $c_g \colon P \to Q$ to $\bar{g} \in G_{0,\mathcal{C}}/\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{0,\mathcal{C}})$.

Since $\pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ is a quotient of $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$, we have a surjection $N_G(S) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ by Proposition 4.1, and by the same argument $N_G(S) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(N_G(S)))$. It is furthermore clear by definition that $SC_G(S)$ lies in the kernel of both maps. To finish showing that we have

the stated sequence of maps we hence just have to show that $\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(N_G(S))$ is exactly the kernel of $N_G(S) \to \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(N_G(S)))$. It is in the kernel since if $g \in PC_{N_G(S)}(P)$ then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_g} \\ P & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ and hence $c_g\colon S \to S$ represents the identity in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(N_G(S)))$. However, then the kernel has to be exactly $\bar{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(N_G(S))$, since taking $G = N_G(S)$ the group in the second and fifth term of the sequence agree and the map is the identity.

Assume now that $\mathcal{C}$ contains all p–centric subgroups and we want to see that $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$. Again by Alperin's fusion theorem this is generated by self-maps, so we just need to see that all elements of p–power order in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \cong N_G(P)/C_G(P)$ are trivial in the fundamental group for $P \in \mathcal{C}$. We can without restriction assume that P is fully G–normalized in S, i.e., that $N_S(P)$ is a Sylow p–subgroup of $N_G(P)$, G–conjugating P if necessary. By conjugation in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ it is furthermore enough to prove that all elements in the image of $N_S(P)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ are trivial in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}})$. Since inclusions map to the identity in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}})$, such elements will hence be equal in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}})$ to elements of $\mathrm{Inn}(S) \leq \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$. The claim is hence reduced to seeing that $\mathrm{Inn}(S) \leq \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ map to the identity in the fundamental group. As an element in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}})$, any element $x \in S$ identifies with the induced element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$, if $x \in P \leq S$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}$, again since inclusions map to the identity. Note furthermore that any element $x' \in S$ which is G–conjugate to x defines a conjugate element in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}})$, since by Alperin's fusion theorem, we can view such a conjugation as taken place inside a sequence of $\mathcal{F}$–automorphisms of larger p–subgroups, which by assumption lie in $\mathcal{C}$. Now, any element x is G–conjugate to an element x' such that $C_S(x')$ is a Sylow p–subgroup of $C_G(x')$ (i.e., x' is "fully G–centralized"). Then $Q = C_S(x')$ is p–centric in G, since $C_G(Q) \leq C_G(x')$, and Q is obviously p–centric in $C_G(x')$, it being a Sylow p–subgroup. But x' represents the identity in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$, which shows that x' and hence x represents zero in $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}})$ as wanted. □

Let us spell out what Proposition 4.9 says when $\mathcal{C}$ is the collection of all non-trivial p–subgroups, in classical group-theoretic terms, which we use in the proof of Theorem E in the next section.

Corollary 4.10 (A vanishing condition for $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G))$)**.** *In the previous notation, let K be a complement of S in $N_G(S)$ (i.e., a splitting of $N_G(S) \to N_G(S)/S$, unique up to conjugacy in $N_G(S)$ by the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem). Set $K_0 = \langle C_K(x) | x \in S \setminus 1 \rangle \leq K$. Then*

$$K/K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(N_G(S))) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G))$$

In particular if K is generated by elements which commute with at least one non-trivial element in S, then $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G)) = 1$. If just $H_1(K)$ is generated by such elements then $H_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G))$. □

Let us also state the following corollary, whose group theoretic input was pointed out to us by Ellen Henke.

Corollary 4.11. *If $N_G(S)/SC_G(S)$ is abelian, then $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G))$ is cyclic.*

Proof. Set $R = N_G(S)/SC_G(S)$ and pick an irreducible R–submodule V of $\Omega_1(Z(S))$. Then $R/C_R(V)$ is cyclic by [KS04, 8.3.3], which implies the claim by Corollary 4.10. □

Remark 4.12 (Vanishing of p–homology)**.** The facts that $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G))$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))$ are p'–groups also have higher dimensional versions. Namely $\tilde{H}_*(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = 0$ by [Dwy98, 7.3] and [Gro02, Ex. 8.6] (variants on the classical [JM92, Prop. 2.1]). Also, $\tilde{H}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = 0$, for any collection closed under passage to p–overgroups by [Gro02, Thm. 1.3] (since all the terms in that spectral

sequence are zero, except the one corresponding to the Sylow p–subgroup S, since there can be no non-trivial homomorphisms from the Steinberg complex a finite group of which p divides the order to the constant module by [Gro02, Cor. 5.4]). This explains why the homology of the categories themselves do not play a role when studying mod p homology decompositions.

Proof of Theorem H. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N_G(S)/S & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathscr{O}^c_p(G)) & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathscr{O}^*_p(G)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
N_G(S)/C_G(S)S & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^c_p(G)) & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^*_p(G)) \\
 & & \uparrow\sim & & \uparrow\sim \\
 & & \pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c_p(G)) & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G))
\end{array}$$

Here the top horizontal maps are epimorphisms by Proposition 4.1, since the collection of p–centric subgroups is closed under passage to p–overgroups. The surjections between the top and middle row follow by definition. The stated properties of the maps in and between the second and third row follow by Proposition 4.9. (In fact, by Proposition 7.1(5), the right map between the second and third row is even induced by a homotopy equivalence. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(-, k^\times)$ and applying Theorem A now implies the first part of the theorem.

If all p–centric subgroups are centric, then $\mathscr{O}^c_p(G) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}^c_p(G)$, so in particular we have an isomorphism $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}^c_p(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^c_p(G))$ in the above diagram, and the 'in particular' statement follows from the first part. If we only assume all p–radical p-centrics are centric (a more common occurence in practice), then the statement still follows via taking π_1 on the homotopy equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
|\mathscr{O}^{cr}_p(G)| & \xrightarrow{\sim} & |\mathscr{O}^c_p(G)| \\
\| & & \downarrow \\
|\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{cr}_p(G)| & \xrightarrow{\sim} & |\bar{\mathcal{F}}^c_p(G)|
\end{array}$$

established in Proposition 7.1(4). This concludes the proof. □

Remark 4.13. The p'–quotients of $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c)$, the fundamental group based on the p–centric subgroups, were first studied in [BCG$^+$07, §5.1], where they were related to subsystems of the fusion system of p'–index. It was remarked to the authors by Aschbacher that it is itself a finite p'–group, see [BCG$^+$07, p. 3839] and [Asc11, Ch. 11]. The direct proof in Proposition 4.9 of this fact can also be adapted to the setting of abstract fusion systems.

Remark 4.14. Note that $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}^*_p(G))$, by Propositions 7.1 and 4.9, and hence are all finite p'–groups. In general, though, the assumption that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under passing to non-trivial p–undergroups do not provide so good analogs of Proposition 4.9: If $\mathcal{C}$ is the collection of all non-trivial proper p–subgroups, and G an elementary abelian p–group, then $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$ is $p+1$ points and a wedge of p^3 circles, for G of rank 2 and 3 respectively.

We end this subsection with a couple of computational examples, to give a taste of how the preceding results are used.

Example 4.15. If S is a finite p–group, and φ is an automorphism of S then $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(S \rtimes \langle\varphi\rangle)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/r$, generated by φ, where r is the smallest natural number such that φ^r acts with a fixed-point on $S \setminus 1$ by Corollary 4.10.

Proposition 4.16. *Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a fusion system over $S = 3^{1+2}_+$. Then $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*) = 0$ unless $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_3(G)$ for $G = 3^{1+2}_+ : 8$, in which case $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. (The sporadic group J_2 is the unique finite simple group with 3–fusion system $\mathcal{F}_3(3^{1+2}_+ : 8)$.)*

Proof. We have $\mathrm{Out}(3^{1+2}_+) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ of order 48, so $K = N_{\mathcal{F}}(S)/S$ is a subgroup of the 2–Sylow subgroup SD_{16}, which identifies with the semi-linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_9$, generated by a generator σ of $\mathbb{F}_9^\times$ and the Frobenius τ, subject to the relations $\sigma^8 = \tau^2 = 1$, and $\tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^3$. Both σ and τ have determinant -1 inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$. We claim that σ^k, for k odd, are the only elements that act fixed-point freely on 3^{1+2}_+. It is clear that σ^k act fixed-point freely iff k is odd. Furthermore the elements $\sigma^{2k+1}\tau$ act with a fixed-point, since they act trivially on the center, and likewise $\sigma^{2k}\tau$ acts with a non-trivial fixed-point, since $(\sigma^{2k}\tau)(\sigma^{-k}) = \sigma^{2k}(\sigma^{-3k}) = \sigma^{-k}$. The only two subgroups which contain σ are $\langle\sigma\rangle$ and SD_{16}. For $K = SD_{16}$ we have $\sigma = \sigma\tau\sigma\tau$, in the notation of Corollary 4.10, so $K = K_0$. For $K = \langle\sigma\rangle$, $K/K_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ by Example 4.15.

To finish the proof we use that by [RV04, Tables 1.1 and 1.2], $\mathcal{F}_3(3^{1+2}_+ : 8)$ is the only fusion system on 3^{1+2}_+ with $N_{\mathcal{F}}(S)/S \cong \mathbb{Z}/8$, and J_2 is the unique finite simple group realizing it. □

Example 4.17. By the $\mathbb{ATLAS}$ the centralizers of 3–elements in the sporadic finite simple group J_2 are $C_G(3A) = 3{\cdot}\mathrm{PSL}_2(9)$ and $C_G(3B) = 3\times A_4$, in particular $3'$–perfect. Hence Proposition 4.16 combined with Theorem E gives $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{F}), k^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ in this case. See [LM15b, 6.4] for a very different derivation of this result.

5. Homology decompositions and the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture

In this section we establish the results about homology decompositions stated in the introduction, and how it implies the Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture. The key tool is the isotropy spectral sequence, recalled below. Applied to the space $|\mathcal{C}|$ this give us the normalizer decomposition (Theorem D). For the centralizer decomposition (Theorem E) when instead use the space $|E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, where $E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the overcategory $\iota \downarrow G$ for $\iota : \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}\cup G}$; see also Section 7. (There is also a third decomposition, the subgroup decomposition, based on a space $|E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, but since the isotropy subgroups are p–groups, it does not provide us with new information, when taking coefficients prime to p.) We will work in both homology and cohomology—these are essentially equivalent, but from a practical viewpoint may feel more convenient to work in homology, only mapping into $k^\times$ at the end, so we give both versions. Let $H^G_i(X;\mathfrak{F})$ denote Bredon homology equipped with an isotropy coefficient system $\mathfrak{F}$.

Proposition 5.1 (The isotropy spectral sequence)**.** *Let G be a finite group, X a G–space, and A an abelian group. We have a homological isotropy spectral sequence for the action of G on X*

$$E^2_{i,j} = H^G_i(X; H_j(-;A)) \Rightarrow H_{i+j}(X_{hG};A)$$

The bottom right-hand corner produces an exact sequence

$$H_2(X/G;A) \to H_0(X;H_1(-;A)) \to H_1(X_{hG};A) \to H_1(X/G;A) \to 0$$

The dual spectral sequence in cohomology produces

$$0 \to H^1(X/G;A) \to H^1(X_{hG};A) \to H^0(X;H^1(-;A)) \to H^2(X/G;A)$$

If $H_1(X/G;A) = H_2(X/G;A) = 0$ then this degenerates to

$$H_1(X_{hG};A) \cong H^G_0(X;H_1(-;A))$$

and dually if $H^1(X/G;A) = H^2(X/G;A) = 0$ then $H^1(X_{hG};A) \cong H^0_G(X;H^1(-;A))$.

By definition

$$H^G_0(X;H_1(-;A)) = \mathrm{coker}\left(\oplus_{\sigma\in X_1/G} H_1(G_\sigma;A) \xrightarrow{d_0-d_1} \oplus_{\sigma\in X_0/G} H_1(G_\sigma;A)\right)$$

for X_i the non-degenerate i–simplices, and dually for cohomology.

Proof. Recall that, as explained in standard references such as [Dwy98, §2.3] [Bro94, VII(5.3)], the (homology) isotropy spectral sequence is constructed as the spectral sequence of the double complex $C_*(EG) \otimes_G C_*(X; A)$, filtered via the skeletal filtration of X. Hence $E^1_{*j} = H_j(G; C_*(X; A))$, and E^2–term as stated taking homology induced by the differential on $C_*(X; A)$. The stated properties now follow from the definitions. □

We would like to alternatively view the H_0^G in Proposition 5.1 as a colimit, so we also recall the general principle behind this: Recall from Section 2.3, that a general (covariant) coefficient system on X is just a functor $\Delta X \to Ab$, where ΔX is the category of simplices.

It is convenient to say that a space is *complex-like* if every non-degenerate simplex $\Delta[n] \to X$ is an injection on sets, i.e., if it "looks like" an ordered simplicial complex [Tho80, p. 311]. For a complex-like space, the subdivision category $\operatorname{sd} X$ is the full subcategory of ΔX on the non-degenerate simplices; it has a unique morphism $\sigma \to \tau$ if τ can be obtained from σ via face maps, and no other morphisms, see [DK83, §5].

The following classical proposition gives the relationship we need, stated also for higher homology for clarity:

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $\mathbf{D}$ *be a small category.*

1. *For any functor* $F : \mathbf{D} \to Ab$, $\operatorname{colim}_*^{\mathbf{D}} F = H_*(|\mathbf{D}|; \mathscr{F})$, *where* $\mathscr{F}$ *is the coefficient system induced via* $\Delta|\mathbf{D}| \to \mathbf{D}$, $(d_0 \to \cdots \to d_n) \mapsto d_0$.
2. *For any functor* $F : \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \to Ab$, $\operatorname{colim}_*^{\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{op}}} F = H_*(|\mathbf{D}|; \mathscr{F})$, *where* $\mathscr{F}$ *is the coefficient system induced via* $\Delta|\mathbf{D}| \to \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$, $(d_0 \to \cdots \to d_n) \mapsto d_n$.
3. *Suppose* X *is a complex-like space. For any functor* $F : \operatorname{sd} X \to Ab$, $\operatorname{colim}_*^{\operatorname{sd} X} F = H_*(X; \mathscr{F})$, *where* $\mathscr{F}$ *is induced from* F *via* $\Delta X \to \operatorname{sd} X$, *the map sending all degeneracies to identities; see* [DK83, §5] .

Proof. We shall only need non-derived $* = 0$ part of these statement, which follows easily by writing down the definitions (for the last point also using cofinality), which we invite the reader to do. For (1) and (2), in the general case, see [GZ67, App. II.3.3], and also [Gro02, Prop. 2.6]. (The point is that both sides can be seen as homology of $C_*(|- \downarrow \mathbf{D}|) \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} F$ respectively $F \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} C_*(|\mathbf{D} \downarrow -|)$.) For point (3), notice that both sides can be seen as the homology of $C_*(|\sigma|) \otimes_{\operatorname{sd} X} F$, where $|\sigma|$ is the n–simplex defined by the per assumption distinct vertices of σ, a contravariant functor on $\operatorname{sd} X$ by to $\sigma \to \tau$ assigning the map induced by the unique face inclusion of τ in σ (i.e., the extra structure on $\operatorname{sd} X$ allows us to 'avoid a subdivision'; see also [Gro02, Prop. 7.1]). □

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a collection* G*–homotopy equivalent to a collection closed under passage to non-trivial* p*–overgroups. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))) &\cong \operatorname{coker}\left(d_0 - d_1 \colon \oplus_{[P<Q]} H_1(N_G(P<Q)/P)_{p'} \to \oplus_{[P]} H_1(N_G(P)/P)_{p'}\right) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{[P_0<\cdots<P_n]} H_1(N_G(P_0<\cdots<P_n))_{p'} \end{aligned}$$

a quotient of $H_1(N_G(S)/S)$, *where the colim is over* G*–conjugacy classes of strict chains in* $\mathcal{C}$, *ordered by reverse refinement.*

Proof. We have seen that it is a quotient of $H_1(N_G(S)/S)$ in Proposition 4.1. Furthermore, under the assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$, $H_1(|\mathcal{C}|/G) = H_2(|\mathcal{C}|/G) = 0$ by Proposition 7.8 (Symond's theorem). Hence by the isotropy spectral sequence, Proposition 5.1, applied to the G–space $|\mathcal{C}|$, $H_1(|\mathcal{C}|_{hG})_{p'} = H_0^G(|\mathcal{C}|; H_1(-))_{p'}$. Furthermore

$$H_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) = H_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} = H_1(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} = H_1(|\mathcal{C}|_{hG})_{p'}$$

by Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 and Thomson's theorem (Remark 2.2). The first formula now follows by definition of H_0^G. The second rewriting as a colimit over conjugacy classes of strict chains now follows from Proposition 5.2(3), noting that $|\mathcal{C}|/G$ is complex-like. □

Proof of Theorem D. By Theorem A, $T_k(G,S) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times)$, using the universal coefficient theorem. Combining this with Proposition 5.3 for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ now gives the wanted expression, using that $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, k^\times)$ is left exact. □

We now prove the centralizer version.

Proposition 5.4. *For any collection $\mathcal{C}$ of p–subgroups of G there is an exact sequence*

$$H_2(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(G))_{p'} \to \mathrm{colim}_{P\in\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(G)^{\mathrm{op}}} H_1(C_G(P))_{p'} \to H_1(\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G))_{p'} \to H_1(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(G))_{p'} \to 0$$

Proof. Consider the isotropy spectral sequence, Proposition 5.1, in low degrees, for the G–space $|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|$ introduced in Section 7:

$$H_2(|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|/G) \to H_0^G(|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|; H_1(-)) \to H_1(|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|_{hG}) \to H_1(|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|/G) \to 0$$

We want to identify this sequence with the sequence of the proposition. As remarked in Section 7, $|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|/G = |\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}|$, and furthermore the G–map $|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}| \to |\mathcal{C}|$ is a homotopy equivalence, and induces $|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|_{hG} \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathcal{C}|_{hG}$. Also by Proposition 4.3 (and Thomason's theorem, Remark 2.2) $H_1(|\mathcal{C}|_{hG})_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1(\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G))_{p'}$, as in the previous proof. So, after inverting p, the first, third and fourth term identify as stated. For the second term, notice that the stabilizer of an n–simplex $i : V_0 \to V_1 \to \cdots \to V_n \to G$ is $C_G(i(V_n))$. Hence Proposition 5.2(2) also identifies $H_0^G(|E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}|; H_1(-))$ with the colimit as stated. □

Proof of Theorem E. The main statement and proof is dual to Proposition 5.4 using the cohomology isotropy spectral sequence instead.

For the 'in particular' part, note that since the centralizers of elements of order p are p'–perfect, the inverse limit is obviously zero since the values are zero. The assumptions on action of $N_G(S)$ implies that $H^1(\mathcal{F}_p^*(G); k^\times) = 0$ by Corollary 4.10. □

Remark 5.5 (Isotropy versus Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence)**.** The above arguments in terms of the isotropy spectral sequence can equivalently be recast in terms of the Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence of a homotopy colimit. As explained e.g., by Dwyer [Dwy98, §3][Dwy97, §3.3], the isotropy spectral sequence identifies with the Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence associated to the normalizer homology decomposition

$$|\mathcal{C}|_{hG} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\sigma\in|\mathcal{C}|/G} EG \times_G G/G_\sigma$$

It is also possible to work with $\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G)$ directly, instead of passing via $|\mathcal{C}|_{hG}$, since by [Sło91, Cor. 2.18] the orbit category admits a normalizer decomposition

$$|\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G)| \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{(P_0<\cdots<P_n)\in|\mathcal{C}|/G} BN_G(P_0 < \cdots < P_n)/P_0$$

(where $BN_G(P_0 < \cdots < P_n)/P_0$ has to be interpreted as $E(G/P_0) \times_G G/N_G(P_0 < \cdots < P_n)$, for G/P_0 the translation groupoid of the G–set G/P_0, in order to get a strict functor to spaces). With a bit of work, the associated spectral sequence for this homotopy colimit, can also be obtained in a more low-tech way as the Leray spectral sequence of the projection map $|\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}(G)| = |E\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}|/G \to |\mathcal{C}|/G$.

Remark 5.6 (Interpretation of $H^2(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$?)**.** It is natural speculate that $H^2(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times)$ should likewise have a representation theoretic interpretation, as a suitable Brauer group. Note in this connection that the group $H^2(\mathcal{F}^c; k^\times)$ occurs in connection with the so-called gluing problem for blocks, see [Lin04, Lin05, Lin09].

5.1. **The Carlson–Thevenaz conjecture.** We will now prove the results in Section 1.3, and in particular deduce Theorem F from Theorem D (or, equivalently Proposition 5.3 and Theorem A):

Proof of Theorem F. We will identify the colimit formula in Proposition 5.3 in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ with the description in terms of $\rho^\infty(S) = \cup_r \rho^r(S)$ as in Theorem F, and then afterwards go back and determine that the union stabilizes for $r \geq 1 + \dim \mathcal{B}_p(G)$. We already know by (1.2) that $N_G(S) \to H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$ is surjective. We hence have to see that an element $x \in N_G(S)$ maps to zero in the colimit if and only if it lies in $\rho^\infty(S)$. However this is almost the definition of the colimit:

For the one direction, suppose $x \in \rho^r(Q)$, and consider it an element of colim via $\rho^r(Q) \to H_1(N_G(Q))_{p'} \to$ colim. We claim that it is zero. This is by definition if $r = 1$. Suppose by induction it is true for $r-1$. We can without loss of generality assume that $x \in N_G(Q) \cap \rho^{r-1}(Q')$, for some fixed Q', since then whatever is generated when Q' varies is also zero in the colimit. Now by the inductive assumption the image of x in $H_1(N_G(Q'))_{p'}$ under $N_G(Q) \cap \rho^{r-1}(Q') \leq \rho^{r-1}(Q') \leq N_G(Q')$ is zero, viewed as an element in the colimit. By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_1(N_G(Q) \cap \rho^{r-1}(Q'))_{p'} & \longrightarrow & H_1(N_G(Q) \cap N_G(Q'))_{p'} & \longrightarrow & H_1(N_G(Q))_{p'} \\ & \searrow & & \searrow & \\ & & H_1(\rho^{r-1}(Q'))_{p'} & \longrightarrow & H_1(N_G(Q'))_{p'} \end{array}$$

the image of x in $H_1(N_G(Q'))_{p'}$ is equivalent in the colimit to the image of x in $H_1(N_G(Q))_{p'}$ via the top horizontal composite, which hence has to be zero in the colimit as well.

The converse is also true: If $x \in H_1(N_G(S))_{p'}$ defines the zero element in the colimit, then that means by definition that x can be connected by a zig-zag of compatible elements

$$H_1(N_G(Q))_{p'} \leftarrow H_1(N_G(Q < Q'))_{p'} \to H_1(N_G(Q'))_{p'}$$

for all Q and Q' to an element which is zero in some $H_1(N_G(Q))_{p'}$. The element will then lie in $\rho^{r+1}(S)$ where r is the length of this chain ($\rho^1(S)$ meaning that it is already zero in $H_1(N_G(S))_{p'}$).

The bound on r is again a matter of standard facts about colimits and unraveling the definitions: Recall that $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ is G–homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$, and view an element $x \in H_1(N_G(S))_{p'}$ inside $\oplus_{[P] \in \mathcal{B}_p(G)} H_1(N_G(P))_{p'}$. If it maps to zero in the colimit, it means by Proposition 5.3 that we can express it as the image under the boundary map d of an element $[y]_{[P<Q]} \in \oplus_{[P<Q]} H_1(N_G(P < Q))_{p'}$, where the sum is over G–conjugacy classes of pairs $P < Q$ of non-trivial p–radical subgroups. For a p–radical subgroup P define the height as the maximal length of a proper chain of non-trivial p–radical subgroups ending at P, so that a minimal non-trivial p–radical subgroups has height zero, and Sylow p–subgroups have height $\dim \mathcal{B}_p(G)$. We want to show that $x = dy$ is connected via zig-zag of length $\dim \mathcal{B}_p(G)$ to zero. Write $y = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathcal{B}_p(G)-1} y_i$ where y_i are those terms of $[y]_{P<Q}$ where P has height i, and note that $d(\sum_{i=0}^{r} y_i)$ is zero on the summands corresponding to p–radical subgroups of height r or less, since x is concentrated on S. We claim that $d_1(\sum_{i=0}^{r} y_i)$ is connected by a zig-zag of length r to zero. For $r = 0$ this is clear, since $d_1 y = 0$, because x is concentrated on S. Suppose by induction it is true for $r-1$. Now

$$d_1(y_0 + \cdots y_r) = d_1(y_0 + \cdots + y_{r-1}) + d_1 y_r = d_1(y_0 + \cdots + y_{r-1}) + d_0(y_0 + \cdots + y_{r-1})$$

where the last equality is since x is concentrated on S. Since $d_0(y_0 + \cdots + y_{r-1})$ is connected to $d_1(y_0 + \cdots + y_{r-1})$ via one zig-zag, we conclude by the induction assumption that $d_1(y_0 + \cdots y_r)$ is connected via a zig-zag of length r to zero as claimed. For $r = \dim \mathcal{B}_p(G) - 1$, we conclude that $x = d_0 y$ is connected via a zig-zag of length $\dim \mathcal{B}_p(G)$ to 0, which, translating language means that $x \in \rho^r(S)$ for $r = \dim \mathcal{B}_p(G) + 1$ as wanted. □

Proof of Corollary G. Start by noticing that if all p–radical subgroups are normal in S, then S is a Sylow p–subgroup in $N_G(P \leq Q)$, so by the Frattini argument

$$N_G(P \leq Q \leq S)A^{p'}(N_G(P \leq Q)) = N_G(P \leq Q)$$

Hence the assumption in the last part of the corollary is really more general.

Now suppose that

$$\varphi \in \ker\Big(H^1(N_G(S); k^\times) \to \oplus_{[P]} H^1(N_G(S) \cap A^{p'}(N_G(P)); k^\times)\Big)$$

and we need to see that this can be extended to an element in the inverse limit. By definition of the limit, we have to specify compatible elements in $H^1(N_G(P); k^\times)$ for all p–radical subgroups in P, where compatibility means that they agree on intersection $N_G(P \leq Q)$.

For each chain $P \leq Q < S$ we can consider the restriction $\varphi|_{N_G(P \leq Q < S)}$, which by virtue of lying in the kernel is zero on $N_G(P \leq Q < S) \cap A^{p'}(N_G(Q))$ Furthermore, by assumption

$$\begin{aligned} N_G(P \leq Q \leq S)/N_G(P \leq Q \leq S) \cap A^{p'}(N_G(P \leq Q)) & \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} N_G(P \leq Q \leq S)A^{p'}(N_G(P \leq Q))/A^{p'}(N_G(P \leq Q)) & \\ \cong N_G(P \leq Q)/A^{p'}(N_G(P \leq Q)) & \end{aligned}$$

so we get a unique element $\varphi_{P \leq Q} \in H^1(N_G(P \leq Q); k^\times)$ for all $P \leq Q$.

Furthermore all these elements were induced by restriction of the map on $N_G(S)$ so they are obviously compatible as is illustrated in the following diagram:



(Note that the triple intersection $N_G(P \leq Q \leq S)$ ensures that the map on $N_G(P \leq Q)$ obtained by restriction of the map on either $N_G(P)$ or $N_G(Q)$ agree.) □

Remark 5.7 (A strong version of [CT15, Thm. 7.1])**.** Suppose that $N_G(S)$ controls p–fusion in G, and that for each nontrivial p–radical subgroup $Q \leq S$

$$(N_G(S) \cap C_G(Q))A^{p'}(C_G(Q)) = C_G(Q)$$

Then the general assumption of Corollary G is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} N_G(P < Q) = N_G(P < Q < S)C_G(Q) &\subseteq N_G(P < Q < S)A^{p'}(C_G(Q)) \\ &\subseteq N_G(P < Q < S)A^{p'}(N_G(P < Q)) \end{aligned}$$

where the first inclusion in by control of fusion and the second by the assumption. This provides a version of [CT15, Thm. 7.1] where the condition is only checked on p–radical subgroups.

6. Computations

By Theorem A calculating $T_k(G, S)$ amounts to calculating $H_1(\mathcal{O}_p^*(G))$, and we have developed a number of theorem and tools for this in the preceding sections: Formulas such as Theorems D and E makes these computable for individual groups, since the input data has often been tabulated, since it is needed in connection with a range of conjectures in modular representation theory. Similarly Theorem B allows us to tap into the large preexisting literature on the fundamental group of subgroup complexes, which has been studied in topological combinatorics, due to its

relationship to other combinatorial problems, as well as in finite group theory, where it is related to uniqueness question of a group given it's p–local structure, and the classification of finite simple groups. In this section we will go through different classes of groups, and show how this translates into explicit computations. We will only pick low-hanging fruit, but with a clear recipe for how to continue.

6.1. **Sporadic groups.** We want to use Theorem E to determine Sylow-trivial modules for the Monster finite simple group, as a computational example. The result is as follows:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $G = \mathbb{M}$ be the Monster sporadic group, and k any field of characteristic p dividing $|G|$. Then*

$$T_k(G,S) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p = 2,3,5,7,13 \\ \mathbb{Z}/5 & \text{for } p = 11 \\ \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S)/S, k^\times) & \text{for } p > 13 \end{cases}$$

The case $p = 2$ is clear since $N_G(S) = S$, and if $p > 13$, S is cyclic so the formula is the standard Proposition 6.6(2) (with values tabulated in [LM15b, Table 5]). We prove the remaining cases below, which were left open in the recent paper [LM15b, Table 3], using our formulas:

Proof of Theorem 6.1 for $p = 3, 5, 7, 11, 13$. There is some choice in methods, since in fact several of our theorems can be used. For $p = 3, 5, 7$ the easiest seems to be to use Theorem E to see that in all cases $\mathrm{colim}^0_{V \in \mathcal{F}^*_p(G)^{\mathrm{op}}} H_1(C_G(V))_{p'} = 0$ and $H_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)) = 0$. This will follow by a coup d'œil at the standard data about the Monster from [Wil88] and [AW10] (correcting [Yos05]), as well as $\mathbb{ATLAS}$, from which we will see that the H_1's themself vanish in the centralizer limit, and $H_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)) = 0$ vanish by the vanishing criterion of Corollary 4.10. In detail:

For $p = 3$: According to the $\mathbb{ATLAS}$ there are 3 conjugacy classes of subgroups of order 3, with the following centralizers: $C_G(3A) = 3 \cdot Fi'_{24}$, $C_G(3B) = 3^{1+12}_+ 2Suz$, $C_G(3C) = 3 \times Th$. All of these have zero $H_1(-)_{3'}$, since Fi'_{24}, $2Suz$ and Th are perfect. Hence trivially colim $= 0$. We want to use Corollary 4.10 to see that also $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)) = 1$. By [AW10, Table 2] $N_G(S) = S : (2^2 \times SD_{16})$ a subgroup of $N_G(3A^3) = 3^{3+2+6+6}.(L_3(3) \times SD_{16})$ Hence SD_{16} acts trivially on $3A^3$ and 2^2 acts as the diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{F}_3)$ and is generated by elements that fix a non-trivial element in $3A^3$. We conclude by Corollary 4.10 that $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)) = 1$.

For $p = 5$: There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of order 5 with centralizers $C_G(5A) = 5 \times HN$ and $C_G(3B^*) = 5^{1+6} : 4J$, which have zero $H_1(-)_{5'}$ since HN and $4J$ are perfect. Hence colim $= 0$. For $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^*_p(G)) = 1$, note that $N_G(S) = S : (\mathfrak{S}_3 \times 4^2)$ inside $N_G(5B^2) = 5^{2+2+4}.(\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_2(5))$. Hence $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acts trivially on $5B^2$, and 4^2 is generated by elements which act with a non-trivial fixed-point on $5B^2$, so the conclusion again follows by Corollary 4.10.

For $p = 7$: There are 2 conjugacy classes of subgroups of order 7 with centralizers $C_G(7A) = 7 \times He$ and $C_G(7B) = 7^{1+4} : 2\mathfrak{A}_7$, both of which have vanishing $H_1(-)_{5'}$, so colim $= 0$. By [Wil88, Thm. 7] and [AW10, Table 1], $N_G(S) = S : 6^2$ inside $N_G(7B^2) = 7^{2+1+2} : \mathrm{GL}_2(7)$, so again we can use Corollary 4.10.

For $p = 11$ we use Corollary G, since S is abelian: We have just one conjugacy class of subgroups of order 11 with $C_G(11A) = 11 \times M_{12}$ which is $11'$–perfect, and by [AW10, Table 1], $N_G(S) = N_G(11A^2) = 11^2 : (5 \times 2\mathfrak{A}_5)$. We see that $A^{p'}(N_G(11A)) = C_G(11A) = 11 \times M_{12}$, so the kernel of the restriction map $\mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S), k^\times) \to \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S) \cap A^{p'}(N_G(11A)), k^\times)$ equals $\mathrm{Hom}(C_5, k^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5$.

For $p = 13$ we use Theorem H. By [AW10, Table 1] all p–centric p–radicals are centric, so the assumptions of the last part of that theorem are satisfied, and by the same reference $N_G(S) = N_G(13B) = 13^{1+2} : (3 \times 4\mathfrak{S}_4)$. But $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^c_{13}(\mathbb{M})) = 0$, since otherwise there would by [BCG$^+$07, Thm. 5.4] need to exist a subsystem of index 3 or 2, but by [RV04, Thm. 1.1] no such subsystems exist. Hence Theorem H implies that $T_k(G,S) = 0$ as well. □

6.2. **Finite groups of Lie type.** The p–subgroup complex of a finite group of Lie type G at the characteristic is simply connected if the Lie rank is at least 3, since it is homotopy equivalent to the Tits building [Qui78, §3]. Theorem B hence implies that there are no exotic Sylow-trivial modules in that case, a result originally found in [CMN06]. (This is also true in rank two, by a small direct computation, using, say (1.6).)

The situation away from the characteristic is still open in general, but Theorem B provides some new cases, and shows a way to proceed in general: It is expected that the p–subgroup complex is simply connected away from the characteristic, if G is "large enough", which would again by Theorem B imply that there are no exotic Sylow-trivial modules. Stronger yet, the p–subgroup complex appears often to be Cohen–Macaulay.

We give two examples of this, for $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. The $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ case also follows from very recent work of Carlson–Mazza–Nakano [CMN14, CMN16], while the $\mathrm{Sp}_n(q)$ case is new.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with q prime to the charcteristic p of k. If G has an elementary abelian p–subgroup of rank 3, then $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$.*

Proof. It was proved by Quillen [Qui78, Thm. 12.4], that $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is simply connected (and in fact Cohen-Macaulay) under the stated assumptions if $p|q-1$, and when $p \nmid q-1$ it is shown in [Das95, Thm. A]. Hence the result follows from Theorem B. □

Theorem I. *Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, and k a field of characteristic p. If the order of q mod p is odd, and G has an elementary abelian p–subgroup of rank 3, then $T_k(G,S) = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem I. The main theorem in [Das98] states that $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is simply connected under the these assumptions, so the result follows from Theorem B. □

Note however that p–rank at least 3 is not good enough to ensure simply connected: As explained in [Smi11, Ex. 9.3.11] $U_4(3) = O_6^-(3)$ has 2–rank 4 but the 2–subgroup complex is not simply connected. (Likewise the complex of p–radical subgroups is 2–dimensional [GO].) We refer to [Asc93] and [Smi11, 9.3] for more discussion of simply connectivity. We again stress, however, that the condition $H_1(\mathcal{O}_p^*(G)) = H_1(G)$ is strictly weaker than simply connectivity. E.g., for $G = U_4(3)$, $H_1(\mathcal{O}_2^*(G)) = 0$, just by the fact that the Sylow 2–subgroup is its own normalizer.

Before leaving finite groups of Lie type, let us do one more example, namely $G_2(5)$ at $p = 3$, which is one of the borderline cases where $H_1(\mathcal{O}_3(G)) = 0$, but $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$ is one-dimensional and not simply connected. It is furthermore interesting since Carlson–Thevenaz observed via computer that $\rho^2(S) \neq \rho^3(S) = \rho^\infty(S) = N_G(S)$ in [CT15]. As required, the assumptions of Corollary G are not satisfied, since $1 + \dim \mathcal{B}_p(G) = \log_p |S| = 3$ with a radical subgroup of order 3 which is not normal in S. The group can however be easily calculated using either Theorem D (with $\mathcal{C}$ either $\mathcal{B}_3(G)$ or $\mathcal{A}_3(G)$) or Theorem E (using Proposition 4.16)—we choose the first, slightly more cumbersome, method, to see exactly how $\rho^2(S) \neq \rho^3(S) = \rho^\infty(S) = N_G(S)$.

Proposition 6.3. *For k of characteristic 3, $T_k(G_2(5), S) = 0$.*

Proof. Looking at the $\mathbb{ATLAS}$, we see that $N_G(S) \cong 3_+^{1+2} : SD_{16}$ controls 3–fusion in G, and that there are 2 conjugacy classes of elements of order 3, where $3A$ is a central element in 3_+^{1+2} and $3B$ is a non-conjugate non-trivial element. We have 3 conjugacy classes of proper, non-trivial subgroups $\langle 3A\rangle$, $\langle 3B\rangle$, and $V = \langle 3A, 3B\rangle$; furthermore conjugacy classes of chains in this case coinside with chains of conjugacy classes. (The subgroups will in fact all turn out to be 3-radical, but $\langle 3B\rangle$ is not normal in S, so the assumptions of Corollary G are not satisfied.)

We want to see that any element in $H_1(N_G(S))_{3'}$ is equivalent to zero in the colimit; we do this by considering $H_1(\cdot)_{3'}$ of the following subdiagram:



Note that $N_G(3B < V) = N(3B) \cap N(3A)$, by the description of fusion in S. By looking at the order of centralizers of elements and the list of maximal subgroups, as described by the $\mathbb{ATLAS}$, we see that $N_G(V)$ and $N_G(S)$ are contained in $N_G(3A) \cong 3 \cdot U_3(5) : 2$, and that $N_G(3B)$ is contained in the maximal subgroup $N_G(2A) \cong 2 \cdot (\mathfrak{A}_5 \times \mathfrak{A}_5).2 = 2 \cdot A(\mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathfrak{S}_5)$, the index 2 subgroup of $2\mathfrak{S}_5 \circ 2\mathfrak{S}_5$ of even permutations, for $2\mathfrak{S}_5$ the central extension with Sylow 2–subgroup Q_{16}. Recall also that $SD_{16} = \langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^8 = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^3 \rangle \leq \mathrm{Out}(3_+^{1+2}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$, with σ^4 identifying with the non-trivial central element in $\mathrm{Out}(3_+^{1+2})$ which acts as -1 on $3_+^{1+2}/Z(3_+^{1+2})$ and trivially on $Z(3_+^{1+2})$. In our model of 3_+^{1+2}, σ^4 will hence conjugate $3B$ to $-3B$ and commute with $3A$, and we can furthermore choose the generator τ so it commutes with $3B$ and conjugates $3A$ to $-3A$. Inside $2 \cdot A(\mathfrak{S}_5 \times \mathfrak{S}_5)$ we can hence represent $3B$ as (123), $3A$ as $(1'2'3')$, σ^4 as $(12)(4'5')$ and τ as $(45)(1'2')$. Hence $N_G(3B) = 2 \cdot A(\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_5)$ and $N_G(3B < V) = 2 \cdot A(\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)$.

Working inside these groups the diagram identifies as follows:


$V : (2 \times 2)$
$2 \cdot A(\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \times (\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2))$
$3_+^{1+2} : (2 \times 2)$
$V : (2 \times 2)$
$3_+^{1+2} : SD_{16}$
$2 \cdot A(\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_5)$
$N_G(V)$
$3_+^{1+2} : SD_{16}$
$3 \cdot U_3(5) : 2$

(6.1)

(In fact $N_G(V) \cong 3 \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{3,4} : 2$, as is seen working inside $N_G(3A)$, but we shall not need this.) Taking $H_1(\cdot)_{3'}$ we obtain the following diagram:



(6.2)

The double headed arrows are surjections by a Frattini argument, and we conclude from this that $H_1(N_G(V)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, since $N_G(V)/C_G(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, by the G–fusion in V. The indicated generators follow since we know how σ^4 and τ acts on $3A$ and $3B$ (and hence V). The right-hand part of the diagram immediately reveals that $\bar{\sigma}$ is zero in the colimit. The element $\bar{\tau}$ is also zero in the colimit: Namely, consider the element $(1'2')(4'5') \in N_G(3B < V)$. This maps to zero in $H_1(N_G(3B))$, by the above description. But in $H_1(N_G(V))$ it maps to the same element as τ, since it acts the same way on V. Hence $\bar{\tau}$ represents the zero element in the colimit as wanted. □

6.3. **Symmetric groups.** The Sylow–trivial modules for the symmetric groups are understood via representation theoretic methods by the work of Carlson–Hemmer–Mazza–Nakano [CMN09, CHM10] (see also [LM15a] for extensions). Let us point out that the our work gives an alternative derivation of their results at least in the generic case.

Theorem 6.4. *If p is odd, and $3p+2 \leq n < p^2$ or $n \geq p^2+p$ then $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{S}_n, k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

Proof. In [Kso04, Thm. 0.1] (building on [Kso03] and [Bou92]) it is proved that $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$, and hence $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, is simply connected if and only if n is in the above range, when p is odd. So the result follows from Theorem B. □

When $p=2$, $T_k(\mathfrak{S}_n, S) = 0$ since $N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(S) = S$ for all n [Wei25, Cor. 2]. It is an interesting exercise to fill in the left-out cases, where p is odd and n is small relative to p, using the methods of this paper. Let us just quickly do this calculation where $p=3$ and $n=7$, where $T_k(\mathfrak{S}_7, S) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, as an illustration. (This case is of interest since it is the smallest case where $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ is connected but not simply connected, and where the old naïve guess [Car12, §5] that groups without strongly p–embedded subgroup should have no exotic Sylow-trivial modules fails.)

Pick $S = \langle (123), (456) \rangle$ with $N_G(S) = \mathfrak{S}_3 \wr C_2$. There are two $N_G(S)$–conjugacy classes of order 3 subgroups, represented by $A = \langle (123) \rangle$ and $B = \langle (123)(456) \rangle$. $N_G(\langle (123) \rangle) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_4$ and $N_G(\langle (123)(456) \rangle) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \wr C_2$. By Theorem A, $T_k(G,S) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)), k^\times)$ and by Proposition 5.3, $H_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$ equals the colimit of $H_1(-)_{p'}$ applied to the diagram



We see that the colimit is just $H_1(N_G(S)) \cong C_2 \times C_2$, i.e., $T_k(\mathfrak{S}_7, S) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ as wanted. (Alternatively, we can use the centralizer decomposition, Theorem E: $C_G(A) = \langle A \rangle \times \mathfrak{S}_4$, $C_G(B) = C_3 \wr C_2$, and $C_G(V) = V$, so $\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{F}_p^*(G)} H_1(C_G(-)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$; furthermore $|\mathcal{F}_3^*(G)|$ is contractible, since it is a one-dimensional complex with $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}_3^*(G)) = 1$ by Corollary 4.10, because $N_G(S) = \mathfrak{S}_3 \wr C_2$ is generated by S and order 2 elements commuting with a non-trivial element in S.) Notice also that Corollary 3.6 gives description of the exotic generator as $\Omega^{-1} H_1(|\mathcal{S}_3(\mathfrak{S}_7)|; k_\varphi)$, where $H_1(|\mathcal{S}_3(\mathfrak{S}_7)|; k_\varphi)$ is of dimension 35, since $|\mathcal{S}_3(\mathfrak{S}_7)|$ is a wedge of 36 circles by an Euler characteristic count [Bou92, §5.6] and $H_0(|\mathcal{S}_3(\mathfrak{S}_7)|; k_\varphi) = 0$. This agrees on the level of dimensions with the description in [CMN09, Prop. 8.3] as the Young module $Y(4,3)$, which is of dimension 28 with projective cover of dimension $28 + 35 = 63$, as explained to us by Anne Henke. The representation given by the top homology group of the p–subgroup complex has been studied in some generality by Shareshian–Wachs [Sha04, SW09], and it seems likely that this can be adapted to twisted coefficients, though we will not pursue this here.

6.4. **p–solvable groups.** When G is a p–solvable group, it is proved in [NR12], building on [CMT11], that $T_k(G,S) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times)$, at least when k is algebraically closed, and $G = G_0$ when the p–rank is two or more by [Gol70, Thm. 2.2]. The proof in [NR12, CMT11] reduces to the case $G = AH$, where A is an elementary abelian p–group, and H is a normal p'–group, and appeal to the classification of the finite simple groups in the proof of the last statement, albeit in a mild way. By Theorem A the statement is equivalent to that $H^1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G); k^\times) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(G_0, k^\times)$. We will not reprove this isomorphism here, but would like to make two remarks:

First, we have the following result.

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose that $G = AH$ with H a normal p'–group and A a non-trivial elementary abelian p–group. Then $T_k(G,S) \cong \lim^0_{V \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_p(A)}} \mathrm{Hom}(C_H(V), k^\times)$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{F}_p(A) \cong \mathcal{F}_p(G)$, and in particular $|\mathcal{F}_p^*(G)|$ is contractible. so the result follows from Theorem E, and the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}(C_G(V), k^\times) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(C_H(V), k^\times)$. □

It would obviously be interesting to have an identification of the right-hand side with $\mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$, when A has rank at least 2, via a proof which did not use the classification of finite simple groups.

Second, the statement $T_k(G, S) = \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$ would follow from the simply connectivity of $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, by Theorem B. When $G = AH$ as above, Quillen [Qui78, Prob. 12.3] conjectures that $S_p(G)$ should in fact be Cohen–Macaulay, and in particular simply connected when A has p–rank at least 3, and proved this when G is actually solvable [Qui78, Thm. 11.2(i)]. Aschbacher also conjectured the simple connectivity in [Asc93], and reduced the claim to where $H = F^*(G)$ is a direct product simple components being permuted transitively by A, see also [Smi11, §9.3]. (The related [Qui78, Thm. 11.2(ii)], giving non-zero homology in the top dimension, has in fact been generalized to the p–solvable case, though with a proof also using the classification of finite simple groups; see [Smi11, §8.2].) We remark though, that when G is not of the form $G = AH$, the complex $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ need not be simply connected even for large p–rank; see [PW00, Ex. 5.1], so a direct proof in the p–solvable case would need one of our more precise theorems. (The homology is described in the solvable case in [PW00, Prop. 4.2], and Puig described all essential subgroups in the p–solvable case as those p–radical subgroups P where $N_G(P)/P$ has p–rank one [Pui76, Cor. to Prop. II.4].)

6.5. **Some basic results.** Let us end our calculational section, by some often-used facts about the structure of $T_k(G, S)$ in "easy" cases is also encoded in our theorems.

Proposition 6.6 (Basic cases via Theorem B)**.**

(1) *If $O_p(G) \neq 1$ then $T_k(G, S) = \mathrm{Hom}(G, k^\times)$ by Theorem B since $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is contractible. (See also Proposition 3.1 and* [MT07, Lem. 2.6]*.)*
(2) *If S has p–rank one, then $T_k(G, S) = \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(\Omega_1(Z(S))), k^\times)$, by Theorem B, since $|\mathcal{A}_p(G)| = G/N_G(\Omega_1(Z(S))) = G/G_0$. (See also* [MT07] *and* [CT15, §6]*.)*
(3) *If G is a trivial intersection (T.I.) group then $T_k(G, S) = \mathrm{Hom}(N_G(S), k^\times)$ by Theorem B, since $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)| \simeq G/N_G(S)$ by* [GS06, Thm. 1.1]*. (See also* [CMN06, Prop. 2.8 and Rem. 2.9] *and* [LM15b, §3.3]*.)* □

7. Appendix: Varying the collection $\mathcal{C}$ of subgroups

In this appendix we describe quite precisely how the homotopy types of our basic categories relate and behave under changing the collection $\mathcal{C}$. These results are referred to in Sections 4 and 5, to get the most precise statements, and are extremely useful in practice in adapting calculations to the information about the group at hand. We collect in Proposition 7.1 a a number of "classical" homotopy equivalences between our categories when varying $\mathcal{C}$—these are mainly variations on results obtained and collected in [GS06] to which we also refer, where historical references are given. (See also [GM15] for $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$.) The more technical Proposition 7.3 explains the exact effect of removing a particular subgroup, sometimes called "pruning". This can again be specialized into stronger low-dimensional statements; see Corollary 7.4. As a bonus of our analysis we find an easy and conptual new proof of Symonds' theorem [Sym98] on the contractibility of the orbit space of the p–subgroup complex, i.e., "Webb's conjecture" [Web87, Conj. 4.2], which we give as Proposition 7.8, which is used in the proof of Theorem D.

We first introduce the standard auxiliary G–categories used to manipulate $|\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}|$ and $|\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}|$: Let $E\mathscr{O}_\mathcal{C}$ be the category of "pointed G–sets", i.e., the G–category with objects $(G/P, x)$ for $P \in \mathcal{C}$, and $x \in G/P$, and morphisms maps of pointed G–sets. These are preordered sets, in the sense that there is at most one map between any two objects, and hence equivalent, but not equivariantly equivalent, to actual posets. The group G acts on objects by $g \cdot (G/P, x) = (G/P, gx)$. Similarly $E\mathbf{A}_\mathcal{C}$ is the category with objects monomorphisms $i\colon P \to G$ induced by conjugation in G, with

$P \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $i \to i'$ given by the group homomorphisms $\varphi\colon P \to P'$, induced by conjugation in G, such that $i = i'\varphi$; see also e.g., [Gro02, 2.8]. ($E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ would most logically be called $E\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the current notation, but we keep the traditional name, to avoid confusion with other standard terminology.)

By inspection of simplices, as already observed in [Gro02, Prop. 2.10],

$$|E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|/G = |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \text{ and } |E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}|/G = |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \tag{7.1}$$

We also introduce the $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}$–version $E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The objects equivalence classes of monomorphisms $i\colon P \to G$, for $P \in \mathcal{C}$, identifying two morphisms if they differ by a conjugation in P, and morphisms group homomorphisms $\varphi\colon P \to P'$, modulo conjugation in P, such i is equivalent to $i'\varphi$. Here similarly $|E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}|/G = |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$.

Note also the G–equivariant functors

$$E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathcal{C} \leftarrow E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}} \leftarrow E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}} \tag{7.2}$$

given by $(G/P, x) \mapsto G_x$ and $(i\colon Q \to G) \mapsto i(Q)$. These are equivalence of categories (with functors the other way given by $P \mapsto (G/P, e)$ and $P \mapsto (P \to G)$), but not G–equivalences. However, we can still use the maps to $\mathcal{C}$ to describe the fixed-points in that we have equivalences of categories (observed in [GS06, (†)])

$$E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^H \to \mathcal{C}_{\geq H}, \quad E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^H \to \mathcal{C}_{\leq C_G(H)}, \quad \text{and} \quad E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}^H \to \{Q \in \mathcal{C} | H \leq C_G(Q)Q\} \tag{7.3}$$

We recall the standard notation: $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ and $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$ denotes non-trivial p–groups and non-trivial elementary abelian subgroups $V \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$ as usual. The collection $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$ is the collection of non-trivial p–radical subgroups, i.e., non-trivial p–subgroups P such that $O_p(N_G(P)) = P$. The collection $\mathcal{I}_p(G)$ consists of non-trivial Sylow intersections, and $\mathcal{Z}_p(G)$ is the Benson collection consisting of non-trivial elementary abelian p–subgroups V which are the elements of order p in the center of their centralizer $Z(C_G(V))$.

Proposition 7.1 (Propagating models)**.** *Let G be a finite group.*

(1) *Given collections of p–subgroups $\mathcal{C}' \leq \mathcal{C}$.*
   (a) *If $|\mathcal{C}'| \to |\mathcal{C}|$ is a (non-equivariant) homotopy equivalence, then $|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$ and $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'}$.*
   (b) *If $|E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \to |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ is a G–homotopy equivalence then $|\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$.*
   (c) *If $|E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \to |E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ is a G–homotopy equivalence then $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$.*
   (d) *If $|E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \to |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ is a G–homotopy equivalence then $|\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$.*

In particular, using the equivariant equivalences between $|\mathcal{C}|$, $|E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ and $|E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ for various $\mathcal{C}$ tabulated in [GS06, Thm. 1.1]*,*

(2) *For $\mathcal{C}$ either of the standard collections $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$, $\mathcal{I}_p(G)$, $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$, or $\mathcal{Z}_p(G)$ (defined above) we have $|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{T}_p(G)|$ and $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G))_{p'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))_{p'}$.*
(3) *For $\mathcal{C}$ either $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$, or $\mathcal{I}_p(G)$, $|\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)|$, and for $\mathcal{C}$ either $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$, or $\mathcal{Z}_p(G)$, $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathcal{F}_p^*(G)|$.*

Furthermore, with a superscript e meaning include the trivial subgroup:

(4) *If $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under passage to p–radical p–overgroups, then $|\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_p^e(G)| \to |\mathcal{C}|$ and $|E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)}(G)| \to |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ are G–homotopy equivalences and consequently*

$$|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \text{ and } |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$$

If also $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}e_p$, then $|E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)}| \to |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ is a G–homotopy equivalence and in particular $|\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)}(G)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$

(5) *If $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under passage to non-trivial elementary abelian p–subgroups, then we have G–homotopy equivalences*

$$|E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}| \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} |E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)}| = |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)}| \overset{\sim}{\to} |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$$

and in particular

$$|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)}(G)| = |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)}(G)| \overset{\sim}{\to} |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$$

Proof. For (1a) consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G)| & = & |\mathcal{C}'_G| & \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} & |\mathcal{C}'|_{hG} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\sim \\ |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)| & = & |\mathcal{C}_G| & \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} & |\mathcal{C}|_{hG} \end{array}$$

The horizontal equivalences are by Thomason's theorem, Remark 2.2, and the vertical equivalences follow since $|\mathcal{C}'| \to |\mathcal{C}|$ is a G-equivariant map, which by assumption is a homotopy equivalence, and hence induces a homotopy equivalence on Borel constructions. Now the statement about fundamental groups follow from the first claim, using Proposition 4.3.

Points (1b), (1c), and (1d) follow from (7.1) and the subsequent text: A G–homotopy equivalence induces a homotopy equivalence on orbits

$$|\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G)| = |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}|/G \overset{\sim}{\to} |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|/G = |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$$

and similarly for $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$ and $|\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)|$.

Point (2) now follows from (1a) combined with the equivalences given in [GS06, Thm. 1.1], and similarly for point (3), using (1b) and (1c).

To prove point (4), we start by making a reduction of the problem which works both for the equivariant and non-equivariant statements: It suffices to prove that the category based on $\mathcal{C}$ is homotopy equivalent to a category $\mathcal{C}'$ obtained from $\mathcal{C}$, by adding the conjugacy class of a non-p–radical subgroup P to $\mathcal{C}$, where P is such that $\mathcal{C}$ already contains all p–groups containing a conjugate of P. We can use this case to add conjugacy classes of subgroups in order of decreasing size, to see that have homotopy equivalences from the categories based on $\mathcal{C}$ to categories based on a larger collection obtained by adding conjugacy classes of non-p–radical subgroups to $\mathcal{C}$ so that it is closed under passage to all p–overgroups; then we can then apply this to both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)$, showing that they are equivalent to some common larger collection, closed under passage to all p–overgroups (note that for the result with $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ we use that $\mathcal{C}e_p$ is closed under passage to p–overgroups).

We can now prove (4): With the above reduction, $\mathcal{C}'_{>P} = \mathcal{C}_{>P} = \mathcal{S}_p(G)_{>P}$, which is $N_G(P)$–contractible via the standard contraction $Q \geq N_Q(P) \leq N_Q(P)O_p(N_G(P)) \geq O_p(N_G(P))$ of Quillen [Qui78] and Bouc [Bou84]. Hence by [GS06, Lem. 2.5(1)(3)] $|\mathcal{C}| \to |\mathcal{C}'|$ and $|E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}| \to |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}|$ are G–homotopy equivalences, and the homotopy equivalences on $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{O}$ follow from (1a) an (1b) (see also Proposition 7.3 below).

To prove a G–homotopy equivalence $|E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{B}_p^e(G)}| \to |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, it is by Proposition 7.3, proved below, enough to see that $(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}$ is $PC_G(P)$–contractible. Note that P acts trivially by definition. By (7.3), $(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})^H_{>P}$ is equivalent to $\{Q \in \mathcal{C}_{>P} | H \leq QC_G(Q)\}$. By assumption $\mathcal{C}$ consists of centric subgroups, so $C_G(P) = ZP \times K$ for a p'–group $K = O_{p'}(C_G(P))$. Since K and $O_p(N_G(P))$ normalize each other, and of coprime order they commute. The same is true for K and $N_Q(P)$, by the same argument, when $K \leq QC_G(Q)$. Hence for any $H \leq PC_G(P)$ the standard contraction given above induces a contraction of $\{Q \in \mathcal{C}_{>P} | H \leq QC_G(Q)\}$ as wanted. The statement about $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ follows by (1d).

Point (5): First notice that by the same argument as in (4), it is enough to prove that we have a G–homotopy equivalences from $E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to the corresponding categories based on $\mathcal{C}'$, where

$\mathcal{C}'$ is obtained by adding a the conjugacy class of a non-elementary abelian p–ubgroup P, and $\mathcal{C}$ contains all proper non-trivial subgroups of conjugates of P. For the G–homotopy equivalence $|E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)}| \xrightarrow{\sim} |E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ it is it is enough to see that $\mathcal{C}_{<P}$ is (non-equivariantly) contractible, by [GS06, Lem. 2.5(2)] (or Proposition 7.3); note that $C_G(P)$ acts trivially. But $\mathcal{C}_{<P}$ either equals $\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)_{<P}$ or $\mathcal{A}_p(G)_{<P}$. The poset $\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)_{<P}$ is obviously contractible, since e is an initial element, and and so is $\mathcal{A}_p(G)_{<P}$ by the standard contraction of Quillen $Q \leq Q\Phi(P) \geq \Phi(P)$, where is the Frattini subgroup, generated by commutators and pth powers. The G–homotopy equivalence $|E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{A}_p^e(G)}| \xrightarrow{\sim} |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ also follows by a variant of this argument: By Proposition 7.3 below, it is enough to prove that $(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}$ is $PC_G(P)$–equivariantly contractible. Note that $C_G(P)$ acts trivially, and for For $H \leq P$, $(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})^H_{<P}$ is equivalent to $\{Q \in \mathcal{C}_{<P} | H \leq QC_P(Q)\}$ by (7.3), which has the slightly modified contraction $Q \leq Q(\Phi(P)\cap Z(P)) \geq \Phi(P)\cap Z(P)$, recalling that $\Phi(P)\cap Z(P) \neq 1$, since any non-trivial normal subgroup of a p–group always intersects the center non-trivially, by the standard counting argument.

The statements about $\mathcal{F}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ follow from the G–equivariant statements by (1c) and (1d). □

Example 7.2. Proposition 7.1(4) does not hold for $\mathcal{F}$, since $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}_p(S)}(S)| \cong B(S/Z(S))$; compare also Proposition 4.9. Proposition 7.1(5) is not true for for $\mathscr{O}$, since $|\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{A}_2(C_4)}(C_4)| \cong B\mathbb{Z}/2$,

The next proposition describes the precise effect of removing a particular subgroup, a method dubbed "pruning" in [Dwy98, §9]. The result amounts to passing to G–orbits of the results for the corresponding G–spaces of given in [Dwy98, §9] and [GS06, Lem. 2.5], which again simply amounts to looking at links.

Denote by $(E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}$ the pairs $(G/Q, x)$ such that exist non-isomorphism $(G/Q, x) \to (G/P, e)$, i.e., $G_x > P$, and similarly for $(E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}$ and $E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proposition 7.3 (Pruning collections)**.** *For $\mathcal{C}'$ a collection of p–subgroups obtained from a collection $\mathcal{C}$ by removing all G–conjugates of a p–subgroup $P \in \mathcal{C}$. We have the following five G–homotopy pushout squares, with corresponding quotient homotopy pushout squares:*

$$\begin{array}{rl}
G \times_N (|\mathcal{C}_{<P}| \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|) \longrightarrow |\mathcal{C}'| & (|\mathcal{C}_{<P}|/P \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|)/W \longrightarrow |\mathcal{C}'|/G \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
G/N \longrightarrow |\mathcal{C}| & pt \longrightarrow |\mathcal{C}|/G \\
G \times_G (EN \times (|\mathcal{C}_{<P}| \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|)) \longrightarrow EG \times |\mathcal{C}'| & (|\mathcal{C}_{<P}| \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|)_{hN} \longrightarrow |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
G \times_N EN \longrightarrow EG \times |\mathcal{C}| & BN \longrightarrow |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}| \\
G \times_N (EW \times (|(E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}| \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|)) \longrightarrow |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}| & (|(E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}|/P \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|)_{hW} \longrightarrow |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
G \times_N EW \longrightarrow |E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}| & BW \longrightarrow |\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}| \\
G \times_N (EW' \times (|\mathcal{C}_{<P}| \star |(E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}|)) \longrightarrow |E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}'}| & (|\mathcal{C}_{<P}| \star |(E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}|/C)_{hW'} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
G \times_N EW' \longrightarrow |E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}| & BW' \longrightarrow |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}| \\
G \times_N (EW'' \times (|(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}| \star |(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}|)) \longrightarrow |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}'}| & (|(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}|/P \star |(E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}|/C)_{hW''} \longrightarrow |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
G \times_N EW'' \longrightarrow |E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}| & BW'' \longrightarrow |\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}|
\end{array}$$

where $C = C_G(P)$, $N = N_G(P)$, $W = N_G(P)/C_G(P)$, $W' = N_G(P)/C_G(P)$, *and* $W'' = N_G(P)/PC_G(P)$.

The proposition has the following corollary.

Corollary 7.4. *In the setup of Proposition 7.3, if* $|\mathcal{C}_{<P}|\star|\mathcal{C}_{>P}|$ *is contractible then* $|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}'}| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, *if it is simply connected then* $\pi_1(|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}'}|) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}|)$, *and if it is just connected* $\pi_1(|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}'}|) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}|)$, *for all choices of basepoint. Similar spaces with these properties for* $|\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, $|\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{C}}|$, *and* $|\mathcal{C}|/G$ *can also be read off Proposition 7.3.*

The proof of Proposition 7.3 and its corollary will follow from an easy general statement about links, Proposition 7.9, but before stating and proving that, let us include some discussion and consequences.

Remark 7.5. Recall that the join of an n-connected space and an m–connected space is $(n+m+1)$–connected. In particular the join of two connected spaces, or of a simply connected space with any space, is simply connected, and the join of a contractible space with any space is contractible.

Example 7.6. By Proposition 7.3 we can express the nerve of the orbit category on all p–subgroups $|\mathscr{O}_p(G)|$ as a homotopy pushout of the diagram $BG \leftarrow |\mathscr{T}_p(G)| \to |\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)|$.

It is natural to introduce a class of *p–fundamental subgroups* as the p–subgroups of G such that $|\mathcal{S}_p(N_G(P)/P)|$ is not simply connected, interpolating between p–radical subgroups (meaning that is not $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ contractible, modulo Quillen's conjecture), and the p–essential subgroups (meaning that $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|$ is disconnected). Proposition 7.3 implies that only the non-trivial p–fundamental subgroups are needed in order to calculate the fundamental group of $\mathscr{O}_p^*(G)$.

Proposition 7.7. *For G a finite group and $\mathcal{C}$ the collection of non-trivial p–fundamental subgroups,* $\pi_1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(\mathscr{O}_p^*(G))$.

Proof. We want to remove non p–fundamental subgroup in order of increasing size. By the long exact sequence in the homotopy groups of a fibration, and van Kampen's theorem, it is by Proposition 7.3 enough to see that $|(E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}|/P \star |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|$ is simply connected. However, since we are removing subgroups in order of increasing size $\mathcal{C}_{>P} = \mathcal{S}_P(G)_{>P}$, and $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)_{>P}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $|\mathcal{S}_p(N_G(P)/P)|$ by [Qui78, Prop. 6.1], as already remarked, which is simply connected by assumption. Hence this is true for the join as well. □

We also derive Webb's conjecture as a consequence of Proposition 7.3.

Proposition 7.8 ([Sym98])**.** *If G is a finite group and $\mathcal{C}$ a non-empty collection of p–subgroups, closed under passage to p–radical p–overgroups, then $|\mathcal{C}|/G$ is contractible.*

Proof. First note that by Proposition 7.1(4) we may, without restriction, assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under passage to all p–overgroups, not just p–radical ones. If $\mathcal{C}$ just consists of all Sylow p–subgroups, then the claim amounts exactly to Sylow's theorem. We will prove the claim in general by seeing that contractibility is preserved under adding conjugacy classes of subgroups in order of decreasing size. We can also assume that the claim is true for all groups G of strictly smaller order. So suppose $\mathcal{C}'$ is obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ this way, by adding all G–conjugates of a subgroup P. We can also assume that P is non-trivial, since otherwise the claim is clear, since $\mathcal{C}$ is equivariantly contractible to the trivial subgroup in that case.

The top right-hand corner in the pushout square for $|\mathcal{C}'|/G$ in Proposition 7.3 becomes $|\mathcal{C}_{>P}|/W$, for $W = N_G(P)/P$. But $|\mathcal{C}_{>P}|$ W–deformation retracts onto $|\mathcal{S}_p(W)|$ via the contraction $Q \mapsto N_Q(P)/P$ (cf. e.g. [Qui78, Prop. 6.1]). Now the space is $|\mathcal{S}_p(W)|/W$ is contractible by our induction hypothesis, recalling that W is of smaller order since P is non-trivial and is $\mathcal{S}_p(W)$ non-empty since we have already added the Sylow p–subgroups. □

We will now prove Proposition 7.3, which as mentioned reduces to general observations about links in preordered sets, an abstraction of observations in [Dwy98, GS06]. We need a bit of terminology. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a preordered set, i.e., a small category with at most one morphism between any two objects. Note that our spaces $E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$, etc., are all examples of such. Define $\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})$ as the full subcategory of $\mathcal{X}$ of objects which admit a morphism to or from x, and $\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})$ as the subcategory that admit a non-isomorphism to or from x. Furthermore note that

$$\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x}) = \mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}} \star \bar{x} \star \mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}} \text{ and } \mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x}) = \mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}} \star \mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}$ denotes the subcategory of $\mathcal{X}$ on elements smaller than and not isomorphic to x, and $\bar{x}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{X}$ of objects isomorphic to x. The symbol $\star$ means the join of preordered set, introducing a unique non-isomorphism from objects on the left to objects on the right, realizing to the join of spaces. If $\mathcal{X}$ has a G–action, these are all $G_{\bar{x}}$–subcategories, where $G_{\bar{x}}$ is the stabilizer of $\bar{x}$ as a set, and furthermore $\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})$ is G_x–contractible to x (but generally not $G_{\bar{x}}$–contractible).

Proposition 7.9. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a preordered set equipped with a G–action such that isomorphic objects are G–conjugate, and let $\mathcal{X}'$ denote the subcategory of $\mathcal{X}$ obtained by removing all G–conjugates of an element x.*

(1) *There is a pushout square of G–spaces, which is also a homotopy pushout square of G–spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\,\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}'| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\,\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}| \end{array}$$

where $\bar{x}$ denotes the subcategory of elements isomorphic to x and $G_{\bar{x}}$ its stabilizer as a set.

(2) *If in addition the stablizer G_x of any point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is a normal subgroup in the stablizer of its isomorphism class $\bar{x}$, collapsing $E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x)$ induces a homotopy pushout square of G–spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\,\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}'| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\,\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}| \end{array}$$

In particular, under these assumptions:

(3) *On G–orbits there is a homotopy pushout square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_x)_{hG_{\bar{x}}/G_x} & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}'|/G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}|/G \end{array}$$

(4) *If $|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|$ is G_x-contractible, then $|\mathcal{X}'| \to |\mathcal{X}|$ is a G–homotopy equivalence and $|\mathcal{X}'|/G \to |\mathcal{X}|/G$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

(5) *If $(|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_x$ is connected then $\pi_1(|\mathcal{X}'|/G) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(|\mathcal{X}|/G)$ is surjective.*

(6) *If $(|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_x$ is simply connected then $\pi_1(|\mathcal{X}'|/G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(|\mathcal{X}|/G)$, for all basepoints.*

(7) *$H_i(|\mathcal{X}|/G, |\mathcal{X}'|/G; A) \cong H_i^{h(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x)}(\Sigma((|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_x), pt; A)$, for any abelian group A. In particular if $(|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_{\bar{x}}$ is connected and $H_1(|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_x; A)_{G_{\bar{x}}/G_x} = 0$, then $H_1(|\mathcal{X}'|/G; A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1(|\mathcal{X}|/G; A)$.*

Proof. For (1), notice that it is a pushout of G–spaces by the fact that $|\mathcal{X}'|$ and the image of $G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\,\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$ cover $|\mathcal{X}|$, and the part of $G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\,\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$ that maps to $\mathcal{X}'$ is the subspace

$G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$, just by the definitions and that isomorphic elements are G–conjugate. It is homotopy pushout of G–spaces since $G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \to G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$ is an injective map of G–spaces.

For (2) it is enough see that the projection square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} \times |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} \times |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pushout of G–spaces, by transitivity of homotopy pushouts. To see this it is again enough to see that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| & \longrightarrow & |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pushout of $G_{\bar{x}}$–spaces, which we do by checking that it is a homotopy pushout square on all fixed-points for $H \leq G_{\bar{x}}$. For $H \leq G_x$ $EG_{\bar{x}}/G_x^H$ is contractible and the claim is clear. (Note, we use that $G_{\bar{x}}/G_x$ is a group, not just a coset, so the isotropy does not depend on the chosen point $x \in \bar{x}$.) For $H \not\leq G_x$, the spaces on the left are empty, and $\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})^H = \mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})^H$, so it is also a homotopy pushout square in that case.

The in particular claims now also follow: Since $G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \to G \times_{G_{\bar{x}}} E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$ is an injection of G–spaces passing to G–orbits in (2) produces the homotopy pushout square in (3), using that $(E(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x) \times |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|)/G_{\bar{x}} \xrightarrow{\sim} B(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x)$, since $|\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$ is G_x–contractible. Likewise (4) is a consequence of (2), since under the stated assumption $|\mathbf{link}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})| \to |\mathbf{star}_{\mathcal{X}}(\bar{x})|$ is a G_x–equivalence. Point (5) and (6) are consequence of (3) combined with van Kampen's theorem, since under the stated assumptions $\pi_1((|\mathcal{X}_{<\bar{x}}| \star |\mathcal{X}_{>\bar{x}}|)/G_x)_{hG_{\bar{x}}/G_x}) \to \pi_1(B(G_{\bar{x}}/G_x))$ is an epimorphism and isomorphism respectively. Finally, the first claim in (7) follows by (3) again, combined with the long exact sequence in homology. The 'in particular' claim also follows from (3), now together with the Meyer–Vietoris sequence: The connected assumption implies that the boundary map in the Meyer–Vietoris sequence to H_0 is zero, and the H_1 assumption implies that the left-hand map vertical map is an isomorphism on H_1 so this together yields that the right-hand vertical map is also an isomorphism on H_1 as wanted. □

Proof of Proposition 7.3. The five homotopy pushout squares will all follow by applications of Proposition 7.9, with $\mathcal{X}$ the preordered set we have introduced for that decomposition, with associated poset $\mathcal{C}$: The first follows by taking $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}$, and, noting that the conditions are satisfied, it being an actual poset. For the second, we again take $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}$, but now instead of taking G–orbits we take taking Borel construction on the pushout square of G–spaces, where $|\mathcal{C}|_{hG}$ identifies with $|\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}}|$ by Thomason's theorem, Remark 2.2. (Alternatively, we can more directly take $\mathcal{X} = E\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}} = e \downarrow \iota$, the undercategory for $\iota\colon \mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{C}\cup\{e\}}$.)

For the third square follows taking $\mathcal{X} = E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$, where for $x = (G/Q, y)$, $G_x = G_y$, and $G_{\bar{x}} = N_G(G_y)$, also using that $|(E\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_{>P}| \to |\mathcal{C}_{>P}|$ is a P–homotopy equivalence, as is seen by (7.3).

For the fourth and fifth square take $\mathcal{X}$ to be $E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$ respectively, where $G_x = C_G(i(Q))$ and $G_{\bar{x}} = N_G(i(Q))$ with $x = (i\colon Q \to G)$, for $E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and similarly for $E\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{C}}$, where we use the simplification that $|(E\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{C}})_{<P}| \to |\mathcal{C}_{<P}|$ is $C_G(P)$–equivalence by (7.3). □

Proof of Corollary 7.4. This follows from Proposition 7.9(5)(6), where the spaces identify as in Proposition 7.3. □

References

[AKO11] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar, and B. Oliver. *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, volume 391 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[Alp67] J. L. Alperin. Sylow intersections and fusion. *J. Algebra*, 6:222–241, 1967.

[Alp01] J. L. Alperin. A construction of endo-permutation modules. *J. Group Theory*, 4(1):3–10, 2001.

[AOV12] K. K. S. Andersen, B. Oliver, and J. Ventura. Reduced, tame and exotic fusion systems. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 105(1):87–152, 2012.

[Asc93] M. Aschbacher. Simple connectivity of p-group complexes. *Israel J. Math.*, 82(1-3):1–43, 1993.

[Asc11] M. Aschbacher. The generalized Fitting subsystem of a fusion system. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 209(986):vi+110, 2011.

[AW10] J. An and R. A. Wilson. The Alperin weight conjecture and Uno's conjecture for the Monster $\mathbb{M}$, p odd. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 13:320–356, 2010.

[Bal] P. Balmer. Endotrivial representations of finite groups and equivariant line bundles on the Brown complex. arXiv:1511.00519v1.

[Bal13] P. Balmer. Modular representations of finite groups with trivial restriction to Sylow subgroups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(6):2061–2079, 2013.

[BBC09] P. Balmer, D. J. Benson, and J. F. Carlson. Gluing representations via idempotent modules and constructing endotrivial modules. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(2):173–193, 2009.

[BCG$^+$07] C. Broto, N. Castellana, J. Grodal, R. Levi, and B. Oliver. Extensions of p-local finite groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359:3791–3858, 2007.

[Ben91a] D. J. Benson. *Representations and cohomology. I*, volume 30 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991. Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras.

[Ben91b] D. J. Benson. *Representations and cohomology. II*, volume 31 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. Cohomology of groups and modules.

[BLO03a] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver. Homotopy equivalences of p-completed classifying spaces of finite groups. *Invent. Math.*, 151(3):611–664, 2003.

[BLO03b] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver. The homotopy theory of fusion systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):779–856, 2003.

[Bou84] S. Bouc. Homologie de certains ensembles ordonnés. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 299(2):49–52, 1984.

[Bou92] S. Bouc. Homologie de certains ensembles de 2-sous-groupes des groupes symétriques. *J. Algebra*, 150(1):158–186, 1992.

[Bro94] K. S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.

[Car12] J. F. Carlson. Endotrivial modules. In *Recent developments in Lie algebras, groups and representation theory*, volume 86 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 99–111. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

[Che13] A. Chermak. Fusion systems and localities. *Acta Math.*, 211(1):47–139, 2013.

[CHM10] J. F. Carlson, D. J. Hemmer, and N. Mazza. The group of endotrivial modules for the symmetric and alternating groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 53(1):83–95, 2010.

[CMN06] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and D. K. Nakano. Endotrivial modules for finite groups of Lie type. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 595:93–119, 2006.

[CMN09] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and D. K. Nakano. Endotrivial modules for the symmetric and alternating groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 52(1):45–66, 2009.

[CMN14] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and D. K. Nakano. Endotrivial modules for the general linear group in a nondefining characteristic. *Math. Z.*, 278(3-4):901–925, 2014.

[CMN16] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and D. K. Nakano. Endotrivial modules for finite groups of Lie type A in nondefining characteristic. *Math. Z.*, 282(1-2):1–24, 2016.

[CMT11] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and J. Thévenaz. Endotrivial modules for p-solvable groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(9):4979–4996, 2011.

[CMT13] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and J. Thévenaz. Endotrivial modules over groups with quaternion or semi-dihedral Sylow 2-subgroup. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(1):157–177, 2013.

[CMT14] J. F. Carlson, N. Mazza, and J. Thévenaz. Torsion-free endotrivial modules. *J. Algebra*, 398:413–433, 2014.

[CT04] J. F. Carlson and J. Thévenaz. The classification of endo-trivial modules. *Invent. Math.*, 158(2):389–411, 2004.

[CT05] J. F. Carlson and J. Thévenaz. The classification of torsion endo-trivial modules. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(2):823–883, 2005.

[CT15] J. F. Carlson and J. Thévenaz. The torsion group of endotrivial modules. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(3):749–765, 2015.
[Dad78a] E. C. Dade. Endo-permutation modules over p-groups. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 107(3):459–494, 1978.
[Dad78b] E. C. Dade. Endo-permutation modules over p-groups. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 108(2):317–346, 1978.
[Das95] K. M. Das. Simple connectivity of the Quillen complex of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. *J. Algebra*, 178(1):239–263, 1995.
[Das98] K. M. Das. Some results about the Quillen complex of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. *J. Algebra*, 209(2):427–445, 1998.
[Das00] K. M. Das. The Quillen complex of groups of symplectic type: the characteristic 2 case. *J. Algebra*, 223(2):556–561, 2000.
[DH01] W. G. Dwyer and H.-W. Henn. *Homotopy theoretic methods in group cohomology*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
[DK83] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan. Function complexes for diagrams of simplicial sets. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, 45(2):139–147, 1983.
[Dwy97] W. G. Dwyer. Homology decompositions for classifying spaces of finite groups. *Topology*, 36(4):783–804, 1997.
[Dwy98] W. G. Dwyer. Sharp homology decompositions for classifying spaces of finite groups. In *Group representations: cohomology, group actions and topology (Seattle, WA, 1996)*, volume 63 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 197–220. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
[GJ99] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
[GL83] D. Gorenstein and R. Lyons. The local structure of finite groups of characteristic 2 type. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 42(276):vii+731, 1983.
[GLS98] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon. *The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A*, volume 40 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. Almost simple K-groups.
[GM15] M. Gelvin and J. M. Møller. Homotopy equivalences between p-subgroup categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(7):3030–3052, 2015.
[GO] J. Grodal and B. Oliver. Fundamental groups of p-local finite groups. In preparation.
[Gol70] D. M. Goldschmidt. A conjugation family for finite groups. *J. Algebra*, 16:138–142, 1970.
[Gro02] J. Grodal. Higher limits via subgroup complexes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(2):405–457, 2002.
[GS06] J. Grodal and S. D. Smith. Propagating sharp group homology decompositions. *Adv. Math.*, 200(2):525–538, 2006.
[GZ67] P. Gabriel and M. Zisman. *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1967.
[JM92] S. Jackowski and J. McClure. Homotopy decomposition of classifying spaces via elementary abelian subgroups. *Topology*, 31(1):113–132, 1992.
[KS04] H. Kurzweil and B.j Stellmacher. *The theory of finite groups*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. An introduction, Translated from the 1998 German original.
[Kso03] R. Ksontini. Simple connectivity of the Quillen complex of the symmetric group. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 103(2):257–279, 2003.
[Kso04] R. Ksontini. The fundamental group of the Quillen complex of the symmetric group. *J. Algebra*, 282(1):33–57, 2004.
[Lin04] M. Linckelmann. Fusion category algebras. *J. Algebra*, 277(1):222–235, 2004.
[Lin05] M. Linckelmann. Alperin's weight conjecture in terms of equivariant Bredon cohomology. *Math. Z.*, 250(3):495–513, 2005.
[Lin09] M. Linckelmann. On $H^*(\mathscr{C}; k^\times)$ for fusion systems. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, 11(1):203–218, 2009.
[LM15a] C. Lassueur and N. Mazza. Endotrivial modules for the Schur covers of the symmetric and alternating groups. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 18(5):1321–1335, 2015.
[LM15b] C. Lassueur and N. Mazza. Endotrivial modules for the sporadic simple groups and their covers. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(9):4203–4228, 2015.
[MT07] N. Mazza and J. Thévenaz. Endotrivial modules in the cyclic case. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 89(6):497–503, 2007.
[NR12] G. Navarro and G. R. Robinson. On endo-trivial modules for p-solvable groups. *Math. Z.*, 270(3-4):983–987, 2012.
[OV07] B. Oliver and J. Ventura. Extensions of linking systems with p-group kernel. *Math. Ann.*, 338(4):983–1043, 2007.
[Pui76] L. Puig. Structure locale dans les groupes finis. *Bull. Soc. Math. France Suppl. Mém.*, (47):132, 1976.
[Pui06] L. Puig. Frobenius categories. *J. Algebra*, 303(1):309–357, 2006.
[PW00] J. Pulkus and V. Welker. On the homotopy type of the p-subgroup complex for finite solvable groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 69(2):212–228, 2000.

[Qui73] D. Quillen. Higher algebraic K-theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[Qui78] D. Quillen. Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial p-subgroups of a group. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(2):101–128, 1978.

[Ric89] J. Rickard. Derived categories and stable equivalence. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 61(3):303–317, 1989.

[Ric97] J. Rickard. Idempotent modules in the stable category. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 56(1):149–170, 1997.

[RS86] M. A. Ronan and S. D. Smith. Universal presheaves on group geometries, and modular representations. *J. Algebra*, 102(1):135–154, 1986.

[Rui07] A. Ruiz. Exotic normal fusion subsystems of general linear groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 76(1):181–196, 2007.

[RV04] A. Ruiz and A. Viruel. The classification of p-local finite groups over the extraspecial group of order p^3 and exponent p. *Math. Z.*, 248(1):45–65, 2004.

[Seg68] G. Segal. Classifying spaces and spectral sequences. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (34):105–112, 1968.

[Sha04] J. Shareshian. Hypergraph matching complexes and Quillen complexes of symmetric groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 106(2):299–314, 2004.

[Sło91] J. Słomińska. Homotopy colimits on E-I-categories. In *Algebraic topology Poznań 1989*, volume 1474 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 273–294. Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[Smi11] S. D. Smith. *Subgroup complexes*, volume 179 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[SW09] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. Top homology of hypergraph matching complexes, p-cycle complexes and Quillen complexes of symmetric groups. *J. Algebra*, 322(7):2253–2271, 2009.

[Sym98] P. Symonds. The orbit space of the p-subgroup complex is contractible. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 73(3):400–405, 1998.

[Sym08] P. Symonds. A relative version of Webb's theorem on the equivariant chain complex of a subgroup complex. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 212(8):1984–1986, 2008.

[tD87] T. tom Dieck. *Transformation groups*, volume 8 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1987.

[Thé07] J. Thévenaz. Endo-permutation modules, a guided tour. In *Group representation theory*, pages 115–147. EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2007.

[Tho79] R. W. Thomason. Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 85(1):91–109, 1979.

[Tho80] R. W. Thomason. Cat as a closed model category. *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle*, 21(3):305–324, 1980.

[Web87] P. J. Webb. Subgroup complexes. In *The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986)*, volume 47 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 349–365. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[Web91] P. J. Webb. A split exact sequence of Mackey functors. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66(1):34–69, 1991.

[Wei25] L. Weisner. On the Sylow Subgroups of the Symmetric and Alternating Groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 47(2):121–124, 1925.

[Whe02] W. W. Wheeler. Local subgroups and the stable category. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(6):2187–2205, 2002.

[Wil88] R. A. Wilson. The odd-local subgroups of the Monster. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 44(1):1–16, 1988.

[Yos05] S. Yoshiara. Odd radical subgroups of some sporadic simple groups. *J. Algebra*, 291(1):90–107, 2005.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
E-mail address: jg@math.ku.dk